

How advertising got ‘woke’: The institutional role of advertising in the emergence of gender progressive market logics and practices

Marketing Theory

1–18

© The Author(s) 2021



Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/14705931211035163

journals.sagepub.com/home/mtq**Karen Middleton** 

University of Portsmouth, UK

Sarah Turnbull

University of Portsmouth, UK

Abstract

The use of gender stereotypes has long been a common creative strategy used by practitioners in advertising portrayals, leading to concern over the individual and societal effects of such representations of women. However, a recent decline in this institutionalized practice has provided the stimulus for our research. As such, we explore the influences that have led to meso-level market actors working in advertising and marketing actively avoiding the use of gender stereotypes of women in advertising depictions. We consider the role of advertising in a dynamic market system and the influences upon advertising practitioners leading to the emergence of gender progressive market logics. Linking the experiences of an expert sample of advertising professionals with the extant literature, our study broadens understanding of the central role of advertising in shaping markets. While previous research has examined the impact of advertising on society and cultural meaning, we highlight the recursive nature of this interaction. We find that emergent gender progressive logics have been dependent upon support in public discourses, shifts in professionals' moral conscience, voiced consumer opinion and the market success of trailblazing, gender progressive advertising campaigns. We advance empirical analysis of market system influences that have led to more socially responsible advertising practices. This study has important implications for understanding advertising's potential to address institutional problems in society.

Corresponding author:

Karen Middleton, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth Faculty of Business and Law, Richmond Building, Portland Street, Portsmouth PO1 3DE, UK.

Email: Karen.middleton@port.ac.uk

Keywords

Advertising, advertising in society, gender stereotyping, institutional change, institutional logics, market system dynamics, responsible advertising, social justice

Introduction

Markets are influenced by complex relational and social processes and the interplay between market actors (Baker et al., 2019; Giesler, 2008; Kjellberg and Olsen, 2017; Regany et al., 2021). There is growing interest in how such interplay influences marketplace practices via the transformation of market logics (Dolbec and Fischer, 2015; Ertimur and Coskuner-Balli, 2015; Hartman and Coslor, 2019; Kjeldgaard et al., 2017; Scaraboto and Fischer, 2013; Zanette and Scaraboto, 2019) and as outcomes of market actors' institutional work (Baker et al., 2019; Ghaffari et al., 2019; Thompson-Whiteside and Turnbull, 2020). Such research is valuable for understanding the role of groups of actors and institutional processes in market transformation. Accordingly, while literature considers the role of advertising in society (Eisend, 2019; Frith and Mueller, 2010; Leiss et al., 2018), there has been less attention paid to the role of advertising professional actors in market-shaping dynamics. Our research aims to provide further insights into market system dynamics and the institutional role of advertising. We achieve this through the parallel examination of the influences upon the transformation of advertising practices and logics and the market-shaping consequences of advertising itself. On this basis, our research question is: What are the market system influences operating upon meso-level professional advertising actors that result in transformed market logics and practices on the portrayal of gender?

In answering these questions, we aim to advance the literature on advertising in society, with a focus on the societal effects of gender stereotyping in advertising (Dávila, 2012; Eisend, 2019; Eisend, 2010; Frith and Mueller, 2010; Leiss et al., 2018; Pollay, 1986; Smith Maguire, 2010). To achieve this aim, we use a blend of conceptual methodological approaches outlined by Jaakkola (2020): theory synthesis, theory adaptation and modelling. Firstly, we draw upon institutional theory and market systems dynamics (MSD) as method theories, synthesizing these literature streams and concepts to generate an extended view of the role of advertising in society as a literature domain (Jaakkola, 2020). Secondly, we offer adapted views of both the MSD concept and institutional theory via our enhanced theorization of the role of advertising in market systems and institutional change. Thus, while we employ institutional theory and MSD to broaden the conceptual scope of the role of advertising in society, these theoretical lenses simultaneously provide greater understanding of both institutional influences upon, and societal power struggles manifested through, advertising practices. This theory synthesis and adaptation enables us to contribute our original conceptualization of the recursive nature of advertising, as we uncover dynamic influences on advertising creative decision-making in a market system. The third conceptual methodological approach of modelling yields the four propositions of our findings: (1) Societal discourses provide legitimacy for renewed market logics and advertising practices. (2) The moral conscience of new entrants to the profession mobilizes change. (3) Collective consumer opinion puts pressure on organizations to change institutional logics and practices. (4) Salient gender progressive advertising has influenced the disruption of gender portrayal market logics. These comprise our conceptualization of the influences on marketing and advertising practitioners at market meso-level that have resulted in the emergence of gender progressive logics and practices. Arising from our propositions, our findings also show that emergence of these renewed logics

relies on professional actors' cognizance that advertising has a distinct capacity to influence institutional and market transformation on social equality issues.

Literature review

We now provide an outline of the theoretical background of the study comprising a review of the relevant literature on market system dynamics and institutional logics. We also include a concise review of studies of gender stereotyping in advertising to explain the significance of gendered logics in advertising practice.

Market system dynamics

During the last decade, a thriving stream of research in the marketing academy sees markets as constituted of complex social and cultural systems and takes as its subject matter, the ways that market actors and institutions actively shape (and are shaped by) them (e.g. Baker and Nenonen, 2020; Dolbec and Fischer, 2015; Kjeldgaard et al., 2017; Kjellberg and Olsen, 2017). In accounting for evolution and change, examination of MSD (Giesler, 2008) entails the unpacking of inter-dependent processes involving institutionalized practices, beliefs and expectations. This approach departs from the neoclassical economics view of the firm and market as separate, dyadic entities, where much of the aim of marketing is to develop a responsive understanding of the market in order to profit from it. Thus, the study of the nature of shared, iterative and recursive activities of market actors at various institutional levels allows greater insights to be generated (Baker et al., 2019). Giesler and Fischer (2017) set out how the MSD field of research addresses three problematic biases that exist in previous marketing literature. First, by examining consumer–producer interactions, it is able to reduce the emphasis on *how* consumers and producers variously interact in markets and consider rather, *who and what* shapes market interactions. Second, an analysis of actors, institutions and culture centralizes theorizing on macro- and market-level structures, instead of the previous focus on micro-level concerns, and considers the co-constitutive relationships that exist between levels. Third, to address the bias towards assessing variance between dependent and independent variables in marketing scholarship, MSD focuses on change and development in markets.

The MSD approach recognizes that it is not only firms that are able to shape and change markets; consumers and other market actors can also do so. In general, studies in MSD have to date, primarily taken either a micro-level practice or system-level view (Baker and Nenonen, 2020). Micro-level practice research investigates the role of consumers in shaping markets. This includes the institutional work that consumers do to shift market dynamics and, in the main, it considers the consumer–firm interactions that result in market transformation. For example, consumers are able to work to change cultural and social norms thereby taking on the role of institutional entrepreneurs (Scaraboto and Fischer, 2013), developing new institutional logics (Dolbec and Fischer, 2015; Kjeldgaard et al., 2017) or change markets and institutions through collective acts of consumption (Ghaffari et al., 2019). Kjeldgaard et al. (2017) describe how a formally organized consumer association and beer enthusiasts worked together to change the competitive landscape in the market and hence took an institutional role themselves. In many studies, the consumers' role is theorized as that of market activist (Baker et al., 2019; Dolbec and Fischer, 2015; Scaraboto and Fischer, 2013; Thompson and Coskuner-Balli, 2007). As discontented market actors, consumers are able to work collectively to change institutions through

resistance to existing norms and market practices. They have been found to be agentic, strategic and purposeful in efforts to disrupt the market and even effect field-level change (Kjeldgaard et al., 2017; Scaraboto and Fischer, 2013). Further, consumers are able to leverage the success of social movements and the engagement of consumers with such activism provides them with the co-ordination and power to put pressure on firms and organizations (King and Pearce, 2010; Rao, 2008).

In contrast to the micro-level approach, system-level research examines multiple groups of market actors in the same study in order to provide a comprehensive perspective on market system processes and arrangements and delineate the work undertaken by actors towards market shaping and transformation (Baker and Nenonen, 2020; Ertekin and Atik, 2020; Nenonen et al., 2019). Nenonen et al. (2019) examine multiple stakeholders across institutional and organizational fields to identify triggering capabilities that create stakeholder linkages in the market system and facilitating capabilities that enable market shaping. Correspondingly, Ertekin and Atik (2020) outline constituents of change towards a sustainable fashion market system. They outline the roles of different groups of market actors within the system and demonstrate their motives and practices towards influencing market transformation. In this research, crises and tragic events, luxury fashion brands, big fashion brands and retailers, designers, fashion associations and organizations, and consumers are seen as change agent constituents of the market system.

While both micro-level practice and system-level research acknowledge the influence of institutions and the importance of actor interplay in market change, there is less understanding of market dynamics at market meso-level (with some exceptions, e.g. Baker and Nenonen, 2019; Ertekin et al., 2020; Hartman and Coslor, 2019; Kjellberg and Olson, 2017), and it is here that we situate our focus. Little research in MSD has foregrounded the role of the market meso-level, for example, via advertising and marketing communications, in triggering market shaping and change (Nenonen et al., 2019). This is an important gap to fill when acknowledging the inherent idea that marketing and advertising forms part of the societal and cultural landscape. Despite the latter being well-established in advertising literature (e.g. Dávila, 2012; Leiss et al., 2018; Smith Maguire, 2010), there is a paucity of scholarly research that draws on MSD and institutional theory to explain advertising professional practice (Coleman et al., 2020; Tuncay Zayer and Coleman, 2015), and we synthesize these analytical lenses to assist with the development of new insights.

Market systems as institutions

Interrelations contribute significantly to the constitution of the social systems of markets. As institutions themselves, market systems provide stability and meaning to social actors within them. Viewing a market system as an institution, comprised of a set of social positions leads to a greater understanding of its distinctive use of shared resources and common outputs. As a synergistic process, the navigation and optimization of these resources and outputs gradually becomes established via struggles for accepted practices undertaken by market actors (Fligstein and McAdam, 2012). As institutional fields, market systems may be characterized by ongoing contestation between dominant market incumbents and less privileged challengers who would ordinarily wield less influence over their operation (Fligstein and McAdam, 2012).

By undertaking institutional work in the market system, collective actors are able to either create, maintain or disrupt practices that are considered legitimate; however, actor agency is a necessary precursor for institutional work that successfully challenges this legitimacy (Zietsma and Lawrence, 2010). When they feel the need to create or transform practices and arrangements,

market actors' success is dependent upon both their social position and their networks within the market system. Budding institutional entrepreneurs gain access to social and cultural capital in these extended networks in support of their discontent with existing market arrangements (Battilana et al., 2009). In particular, those actors that are deeply embedded within the market system have the ability to bring about change within institutions and can therefore be pivotal in effecting reform (Laud et al., 2015). As such, institutional entrepreneurs may be able to take the lead in reshaping markets to realize their own interests, and this may be the catalyst for change (Scaraboto and Fischer, 2013).

Disruptive boundary work, at the interstice between groups in a market system is a necessary (but not sufficient) condition for institutional transformation (Zietsma and Lawrence, 2010). More directly, it is the existence of agentic boundary actors with the capacity to undertake work that will contribute to market change. To highlight, an account of how wine promoters negotiated devices and narratives on the theme of provenance in the market system, at the interfaces between firms and between firms and customers is provided by Smith Maguire (2010). As they come together to address desired institutional change and consolidate alternative institutional logics, networked groups with common goals, already embedded in the field are better able to overcome the constraints of existing dominant logics. At this granular level, shared social and moral emotions are particularly significant in leveraging the required commitment and engagement to facilitate the construction of new logics (Fan and Zietsma, 2017). Accordingly, as embedded market actors, the affective capabilities and cultural capital of advertising and marketing practitioners on gender portrayals in advertising are valuable assets in market transformation.

Market system dynamics and the emergence of renewed institutional logics and practices

An important method of unpacking MSD and market change in extant research has been via the analysis of multiple institutional logics. Institutional logics are organizing patterns that are underpinned by symbolic and meaningful constructions of social reality available for organizations and individuals to elaborate (Friedland and Alford, 1991). They represent understanding in the market system of goals and how to pursue them, providing the rationale for practices that are considered legitimate. There are two clear approaches to the examination of logics in the MSD literature. One approach is to look at logics as semantic categories, from a cultural, historical, emergent perspective, employing, for example, discourse, narrative or semiotic analysis (Ertimur and Coskuner-Balli, 2015; Hartman and Coslor, 2019; Humphreys, 2010; Zanette and Scaraboto, 2019). Ertimur and Coskuner-Balli (2015) examined the evolution and competitive dynamics of the US yoga market over 30 years and illustrated the coexistence of spirituality, medical, fitness and commercial logics. While Hartman and Coslor (2019) identified message framing strategies used in advertising for commercial egg donation that drew from the opposing rhetoric and logics of gift giving, altruism and human egg commodification.

A second approach to the examination of logics sets out to identify institutional processes that lead to the development of new logics (Baker et al., 2019; Dolbec and Fischer, 2015; Kjeldgaard et al., 2017; Scaraboto and Fischer, 2013). These processes are based on the premise that the emergence of renewed logics is usually characterized by a form of contestation, and often by the co-existence of multiple opposing logics, against a backdrop of market-level pressures leading to the change. Market evolution and change is inherently brought about by renewed institutional logics that drive institutional actors to carry out market shaping activities, either intentionally or

otherwise. Evolution is fundamentally driven by shifts in macro-, market- and micro-level factors and accompanying market shaping efforts of market actors that, in varying degrees of coalescence, can lead to disruption in the market system to a tipping point and an emergence of renewed logics (Nenonen et al., 2019). Emergent logics can be based on various factors shifting in combination, including, challenges to belief systems; changing sociocultural expectations; the political work of market actors (Baker et al., 2019); developing business models or bases for competition (Hartman and Coslor, 2019; Kjeldgaard et al., 2017); collective institutional work of discontented market actors (Dolbec and Fischer, 2015; Kjeldgaard et al., 2017; Regany et al., 2021); or groups of market actors gaining access to mobilizing institutional logics via novel interactions (Scaraboto and Fischer, 2013; Thompson-Whiteside et al., 2020; Zietsma and Lawrence, 2010). We add interest in the market system dynamics operating upon meso-level professional advertising actors that result in transformed logics and practices, as we set out to reveal the significance of mechanisms related to the institutional role of advertising in society and culture.

The gendered institutional logics of advertising

In field-level institutional logic, instantly recognizable ‘ritual displays’ of gender have long continued to be seen as a ‘safe solution’ by advertising creative practitioners as a method of simplifying communication in short timeframes (Windels, 2016). A wealth of studies affirm that the homemaker/housewife, sexual object and decorative/object of beauty are the key female stereotypes used, with women rarely featured in sporting roles or at work (e.g. Matthes et al., 2016). Advertising practitioners have been found to vary in the extent to which they recognize gendered images can negatively impact audiences, but have faced pressure to maintain their use from a range of marketplace actors, including brand clients, media agents, colleagues and other industry professionals (Tuncay Zayer and Coleman, 2015). Scholars have highlighted the distinctly gendered institutional dynamics at the heart of the advertising industry in accounting for the historical stickiness of these gendered logics and practices (Maclaran and Catterall, 2000).

Although a small longitudinal decrease in stereotyping, mirroring gender-related developments in society, has been identified (Eisend, 2010), extensive academic research over several decades has consistently found stereotyping to be prevalent across cultures, with females appearing as stereotyped more often than males (e.g. Eisend, 2010; Furnham and Mak, 1999; Wolin, 2003). As a function of male hegemony, the prevalence of stereotyping in advertising places women in inferior roles to men. This is a significant cause for concern because advertising is considered to have the power to influence society and shape cultural meaning (Dávila, 2012; Eisend, 2019; Smith Maguire, 2010), thus perpetuating the ‘wicked problem’ of gender inequality in society, and leading to harm to individuals (McConnell, 2018). The media has an impact on an array of users’ perceptions, attitudes and behaviour. As well as contributing directly to *brand-related* attitudes and behaviour of consumers, mediatised advertising has an impact on *non-brand-related* and social effects (Eisend, 2019). In this manner, advertising portrayals can place pressure on individuals to conform to limiting gender stereotypes. Therefore, narrowly defined depictions of gender play a role in the social construction of gender identity and have the potential to cause harm through restriction of people’s choices, aspirations and opportunities. Arguably even more troubling, are the dangers associated with portrayals featuring objectification, inappropriate sexualization and unhealthily thin or unattainable body images (Middleton et al., 2020). ‘Thin ideal’ advertising imagery can cause women and girls, in particular, to internalize expectations about body image resulting in body dissatisfaction, which represents a high risk factor for several forms of

psychological dysfunction, including eating disorders, dietary restraint, depressive symptoms and body-focussed anxiety (Grabe et al., 2008). Of additional grave concern, is the indication from numerable studies that female sexual objectification in advertising is directly associated with a range of pernicious consequences. These include greater support of sexist beliefs and of adversarial sexual beliefs, normalization of male sexual aggression and violence towards women, increased male acceptance of rape myths and self-reported rape likelihood. Further, exposure to this content leads both women and men to have a diminished view of women's competence, morality and humanity (Gurrieri et al., 2016; Vance et al., 2015; Ward, 2016). While extant advertising research (Dávila, 2012; Eisend, 2019; Eisend, 2010; Frith and Mueller, 2010; Leiss et al., 2018; Pollay, 1986; Smith Maguire, 2010) has been no-doubt invaluable in drawing attention to advertising, societal and cultural meaning as shared and iterative, this body of work does not elucidate the recursive nature of this interaction. In other words, an appreciation that multilateral market factors shape advertising creative practice and this is at once, a response to the market and an influence upon it, and vice versa. This vantage point motivates our interest in the use of MSD and institutional theory to uncover the determinants of emerging alternative logics and practices in relation to the portrayal of gender in advertising, greater understanding of which may assist in furthering market change for the better.

Methodology

Research approach and data collection

Given the exploratory nature of the study, and in line with existing empirical examinations of market shaping (e.g. Nenonen et al., 2019), we employed a qualitative methodology (Belk and Sobh, 2019). Interviews lasting up to 60 minutes were undertaken face-to-face and via telephone with marketing and advertising industry practitioners to gauge understanding of the drivers of change in their practices on gender portrayals in advertising. An interview guide was used and participants were asked a number of exploratory questions (see Appendix 1). In attempting to understand the essence of the organizational experience, this approach sought to gather informants' own constructions of knowledge and decision-making processes. The development of conceptual findings and presentation of the data was based upon the Gioia methodology for building grounded theory (Gioia et al., 2013), supplemented by a priori thematic analysis of key elements of institutional theory.

The sample

We interviewed advertising and marketing practitioners from different countries, from different sectors of the industry and at various levels of seniority. This expert sample allowed us to study the phenomenon of theoretical interest from reflective accounts of current practice (Maclean et al., 2014). It was not the intention to specifically recruit professionals who had been directly involved in gender equality campaigns, but rather that they were simply experienced advertising and marketing professionals with responsibility for advertising. To find such a sample, the researchers used a snowball sampling technique, which started with initial recruitment of participants at the *International Festival of Creativity, Cannes*. The researchers then asked initial participants to recommend other practitioners as respondents for the study. In total, 20 interviews were undertaken in English with advertising and marketing practitioners (see Appendix 2 for participant profiles).

Data analysis

The data were analysed using Gioia et al.'s (2013) three-stage process for grounded theory development, which identifies first-order data themes, second-order themes and aggregate dimensions. The first-order data themes were identified from reading the interview transcripts and listening to the tape recordings, allowing key conceptual ideas and themes to emerge from the participants own words. Once prominent data excerpts had been identified, we coded these for key elements of institutional theory as a priori themes. This assisted in accelerating the initial coding phase of analysis and ensured that themes already given prominence in the literature were included (Maxwell, 2021). Next, we began to look for similarities and differences between the first-order concepts, discussing the interrelated nature of each first-order concept, and thus enhancing the development of new theoretical insights. The first-order concepts were then organized into broader conceptual categories to inform a set of original second-order themes and finally, the aggregate dimensions that represented the four conceptual propositions of our findings (see Appendix 3). Intercoder checks were undertaken to ensure reliability (Krippendorff, 2009).

Findings

The reshaping of advertising gender portrayal logics

Here we provide a detailed description of our findings and our derived conceptualization of the influences on marketing and advertising practitioners at market meso-level resulting in the emergence of gender progressive logics and practices. Our theorizing suggests four main propositions that we outline below.

Societal discourses provide legitimacy for renewed market logics and advertising practices. Many of our participants referred to the prevalence of emerging fourth-wave feminist social discourses (Maclaran, 2015; Rubery, 2019) in assuring the viability of empowered or progressive gender portrayals. They perceived recent shifts in public discourse towards widespread support for gender equality market logics. These logics serve not only to reject previous practices associated with gender stereotyping in advertising but form part of an active agenda to frame advertising as having the capacity to undo the very harm it previously perpetrated. Our informants provided insights on the shaping role of advertising in markets and its recursive character in market systems (Baker et al., 2019). They explained that because advertising both reflects and influences ideological shifts in social discourse over time, it is incumbent upon them as practitioners to ensure that their work for brands represents burgeoning values and developing norms in society.

We were ahead of the game. Women's rights issues have been there for donkey's years. All the work they [feminists] did enabled us to have more of a say in those environments. People in the industry were still doing it back then, whereas now people talk about it. There is more of a discussion around it in society, and we have played a part in that. (Victoria, Creative Strategist)

New pressures arising from shifts in public opinion and within wider public institutions, in support of gender equality, for example, the wider media, have undermined existing logics, prompting increased legitimacy for a similar shift within advertising institutions. Hence, as professional actors within a market system, they must update their practices based on new information on institutional conditions.

This is part of a trajectory, where advertising constantly pushes the boundaries in society in order to be creative. In the early to late 90 s it was more about being risqué with sexually explicit imagery and shock appeals. Now, the rejection of the standard is fashionable; being socially-conscious, being 'woke' [byword for social awareness, Miriam-Webster, 2017]. (Nicole, Global Head of Creative Insights)

The moral conscience of new entrants to the profession mobilizes change. Our participants reported the discernable influence of the influx to the industry of women in marketing roles in general (Maclaran and Catteral, 2000), in higher levels of the seniority and in creative roles in advertising. Strength in numbers, combined with personally relevant understanding of the nature and effects of gender stereotyping, contributes to wide-reaching awareness in the marketing profession of the need to reshape advertising portrayals. A shift in the balance of gender representation in industry roles has coupled with the emerging needs of customers and societal stakeholders for social justice in the marketplace to mobilize change. As embedded professional actors, the affective capabilities and cultural capital of advertising practitioners (Fan and Zietsma, 2017; Smith Maguire, 2010) are valuable resources for the redefining of institutional practices and market logics and, as one of our elite informants, reported.

Having representative staff is very important. Our agency has a selective female policy, which has worked really well for diverse representations in our work and the success of our campaigns. We've developed highly creative, stirring campaigns because our people are passionate, and have the desire and confidence to be brave. (Rachel, Advertising Agency President)

In alignment with the influence of public discourses, a cultural zeitgeist that espouses equality and diversity also seems to have particularly influenced younger people in the advertising industry (Pew Research Centre, 2019). Many of our interviewees pointed out that younger, entry-level staff have an enhanced proclivity to support social justice issues, such as gender equality.

I think it goes back to the influence of the new generation of people coming into the industry; the new talent. They have cultural reference points, which dictate how they think, and how they work. There are now clear ideas about what is, and what isn't acceptable. (David, Global Marketing Director)

The reshaping of gender portrayal logics has been dependent upon the affirmation of the personal, ethical beliefs of embedded actors within both the broader market system, and the organizations to which they belong. There was a sense that many felt they were duty-bound to disrupt institutional logics and transform normative portrayals of women in advertising beyond stereotypical presentations. As such, they had been able to take direct action as individual practitioners based on their own ethical beliefs. Some examples given for this direct action in practice included challenging the proposed use of an unnecessarily sexualized ad campaign in a mixed-gender creative team and ensuring that a range of body types was included in a lingerie brand campaign. Practitioners emphasized that such reluctance to use gender stereotypes in the work they are personally responsible for, however, is part of a general industrywide awareness of the need to reduce their use. Nearly all participants explained that their organizations had, in recent years developed procedures to support the development of a new logic of gender equitability in advertising portrayals, for example, with training courses or policies to screen advertising content before it is released.

At our agency, the diversity-call is built into our brief. Every single project has to look at how the creative idea can reflect the world that we live in and respect the people in it. There are some projects where we need to show a particularly strong woman because she is our audience, but in general, inclusivity is our *modus operandi* now. (Scarlett, Global Lead: Creativity, Talent and Strategic Operations)

Accessing the institutional work of advertising industry political campaigning groups has also proved influential in ensuring the viability of progressive gender portrayals. The ideological stance voiced by these organized groups, as well as the gravitas they provide has given marketing professionals confidence and courage to break free from the constraining gender portrayal logics that have maintained stereotyped depictions in the past.

Activism is happening. There is fringe representation. The work that the [United Nations] UNStereotype Alliance and FQ [Female Quotient] are doing helps. Especially in mid-level roles, when they're just trying to learn the ropes, emulate superiors, it gives them more willingness to move away from 'this is what we do', 'this is what has always worked'. (Amal, Agency Founder)

Collective consumer opinion puts pressure on organizations to change institutional logics and practices. Our interviewees demonstrated an acute awareness of censorious consumer conversations around female portrayals in advertising, as part of wider discourses about gender and diversity. They were aware that advertising that depicts brands' support of female empowerment and equality allows consumers to align themselves to the gender equality social movement within the act of consumption. As Miranda (Head of Governance, Planning and Content) explained,

Companies are being held to account even further these days. The idea of brands being responsible has gained traction and gives these kinds of [gender progressive] advertising campaigns even more impact. In the past brands weren't expected to play this role.

An overriding theme in our data was that advertisers and marketers are very cautious, and indeed, fearful of releasing content that could be perceived as offensive, based on inequitable stereotyping. Participants referred to the power of social media in driving the strategic value of progressive portrayals of gender in advertising.

There is a shift in power balance towards customers. 'Other' voices that were weaker in the past are now being heard in the discussion, this is partly consumers on Twitter or Facebook. (Nicole, Global Head of Creative Insights)

Social media has allowed consumers to create their own public content, which can be used to challenge and respond to elites, leaders and organizations. We find that towards contributing to the development of new market logics, it provides a channel for the amplification and organization of consumer discontent. Our informants were very aware that if consumers perceive offensive gender portrayals on the part of advertisers or brands, they have a forum to make direct public complaints to the business and to one another, galvanizing the spread of such discontent. Therefore, as brands rely heavily on building consumer trust and affinity, any voiced and visible consumer displeasure with organizational practices, especially on issues of social justice issues, is to be avoided.

It's much easier for consumers to judge these days. When they spot advertising content that is not as responsible as it should be, they are very quick to post on social media. There used to be very little ability for consumers to talk back. You would have to make a huge mistake for something to make the national news. Today, social media doesn't have to have a massive uprising for mainstream media to get a hold of something that's wrong. I think brands are really keen to avoid that. (Dean, Global Head of Creative, Insights Division)

Salient gender progressive advertising has influenced the disruption of gender portrayal market logics. We find that marketing and advertising market actors have taken the role of institutional entrepreneurs to advance alternative institutional practices and disrupt logics. A demarcation between the high profile campaigns undertaken by the advertising industry and the #MeToo social media movement that became widely popular in 2017 was noted. These campaigns included *This Girl Can*, created in 2015 by Sport England, with the objective of celebrating women of all shapes, sizes, sporting abilities and backgrounds, and Procter and Gamble's 2014 Always Like a *Girl* campaign, credited for breaking stereotypes and empowering women. There was a clear sense of pride and purpose in the ownership of such work, and informants indicated that this evidenced advertising practitioners as institutional entrepreneurs and societal trailblazers.

This Girl Can and Always Like a Girl were before #MeToo. I think the industry were ahead on gender stereotyping, and the MeToo movement came afterwards, affirming what we already knew. (Victoria, Creative Strategist)

Indeed, participants highlighted the significant commercial success of previous gender progressive advertising campaigns as a positive feedback loop for challenger logic, allowing gender progressive portrayals to be framed as a valuable market proposition. As Dean stated,

Everyone talks about Dove's Campaign for Real Beauty. They were ahead of the curve with their accurate representations of women, understanding different perceptions of beauty, and showing a more representative cross-section of women in their advertising. That's continued across other brands' advertising. (Dean, Global Head of Creative, Insights Division)

In this sense, we identify the initiation of a mimetic process (Scott, 2001), whereby the trailblazing, entrepreneurial activities of organizational actors in the field serves as a 'green light' to other field-level actors to follow suit. The salience and success of previous ground-breaking advertising campaigns and their ability to engage consumer audiences has furthered the market legitimation of renewed gender portrayal logics. While multiple logics have coexisted on portrayals of gender in advertising, the success of previous campaigns has framed equitable portrayals as sanctioned and indeed, sought, by advertising audiences. In the process of disrupting inertia and renegotiating existing institutional logics they have spurred multiple institutional actors to move toward a common new goal.

Such purposeful institutional work sits at the boundaries between organizational and cultural fields and we submit that the prominent media locale of advertising serves to redouble such effects. Through emotional, passionate storytelling on gender equality issues, value has accrued to brands that have used advertising to traverse emergent market system logics, via the building of audience connection and affinity. Nevertheless, our participants reported that the nature of such advertising

appears to represent a higher moral purpose that further legitimizes the transformation of organizational logics and practices, as follows.

Brands need to be just ahead of the curve. When you think about what was happening only 6 months ago, now we have to be even better, we have to look even further. In the advertising industry we are sensing a new requirement of what brands and organizations need to be and do. (Michael, Lead Creative Strategist)

As such, in contrast to the conceptualization that marketing acts in a ‘sense and respond’ manner, we detected the emergence a new normative role for advertising. One where professional actors are increasingly adopting a ‘recognise and challenge’ approach with a clear aim to change both institutional logics within the advertising field and those in society. Accordingly, our informants demonstrated their awareness of the recursive nature of advertising, and that it both responds to, and informs market and societal discourses. In transforming their own institutional logics, these actors are positioned to drive societal change and values. By providing mass media imagery of women as empowered and equal to men, professional actors recognize that advertising is capable of leading societal change for the better.

Discussion and conclusions

Our research has focused on identifying the interrelated influences driving change within gender stereotyping in advertising portrayals. In addressing our research aims, we approached with a view of the market as a complex, dynamic system comprised of relational processes (Giesler, 2008). We considered institutional disruption at market meso-level that has resulted in the reshaping of creative decision-making of advertising practitioners. Given our aims, this study makes three key contributions. Firstly, we show that the emergence of gender progressive logics relies on professional actors’ cognizance that advertising has a distinct capacity to influence institutional and market transformation on social equality issues. Secondly, our theorizing reveals the recursive nature of advertising, as we uncover dynamic influences on advertising creative decision-making in a market system. Thirdly, we provide four propositions that represent the influences on advertising practitioners at market meso-level resulting in the emergence of gender progressive logics and practices in advertising. We now situate the contributions of our research in the extant literature and discuss our theoretical implications.

For decades, advertising has been criticized for its persistent use of gender stereotypes (e.g. Eisend 2019, 2010; Furnham and Mak 1999; Gentry and Harrison, 2010; Wolin 2003). However, our research supports recent studies that report a groundswell in change and a welcome decline in this engrained practice (Åkestam, 2017; Champlin et al., 2019; Eisend, 2019; Hsu, 2018; Sobande, 2019). We show how, alongside ongoing changes in the market, advertising institutions have undergone change. We identify revised institutional logics and practices on equitable portrayals of gender and suggest an emergent role for advertising. One in which professional actors within the field are more cognizant of both the capacity, and the responsibility, of advertising to influence social norms on equality and diversity issues. This relates to a new more widely held recognition on the part of practitioners that advertising has a clear social justice responsibility. In particular, our sample of informants were very mindful that individuals and society can suffer negative outcomes as a result of gendered images portrayed in advertising. They displayed moral reasoning and a sense of social obligation (Scott, 2001), indicating the presence of the normative pillar to

support an institutional logic of preventing harm through the use of gender stereotyping. We extend previous advertising research on gender stereotyping professional practice (Coleman et al., 2020; Middleton et al., 2020; Shao et al., 2014; Tuncay Zayer and Coleman, 2015; Windels, 2016) that has shown that although advertising professionals may vary in the extent to which they perceived that gendered messages can be problematic, some question the morality of stereotypic portrayals (Tuncay Zayer and Coleman, 2015). However, while prior research demonstrated that societal discourses and institutional forces prevented practitioners from taking action to change their practices, in comparison, our participants displayed a prevalent and overwhelming disdain for gender stereotyping. Therefore, in adding to burgeoning advertising research on progressive portrayals of gender (Åkestam, 2017; Champlin et al., 2019; Eisend, 2019; Hsu, 2018), our study shows the influences upon the meso-level in support of transformation of gender portrayals in advertising in a dynamic market system (Coleman et al., 2020).

We complement extant research that illuminates the liminal role of advertising agencies, as they undertake disruptive cultural and social actions at the boundaries of production and consumption (Cook, 2001; Dávila, 2012; Eisend, 2010; Leiss et al., 2018; Pollay, 1986; Smith Maguire, 2010), but our utilization of the MSD concept has allowed us to further uncover the interrelated, recursive nature of advertising creative practices and social discourses. Advertising has the capacity to transform society as it constructs and imposes culturally desirable meanings (Zhao and Belk, 2008). This article highlights the cyclical quality of this process in our explanation of how advertising creative practice is informed by emergent notions of legitimacy emanating from the market system, while cumulatively driving notions of legitimacy in the market system in parallel. Drawing on MSD research that has shown that those intent upon market shaping must engage in shared, iterative and recursive processes with other actors to establish new market logics and practices (Baker et al., 2019; Dolbec and Fischer, 2015; Ertekin and Atik, 2020; Kjeldgaard et al., 2017; Regany et al., 2021; Zanette and Scaraboto, 2019), we extend insights on the role of advertising in market shaping (Nenonen et al., 2019; Zhao and Belk, 2008). As such, our research identifies that the cultural visibility of advertising has served to magnify trailblazing advertising campaigns that have promoted gender justice ideals, to the extent that this has expedited the rate of change in the market system, and therefore, society.

The utilization of the lens of institutional theory in our analysis has permitted a broad consideration of how change in institutions may be linked to the market system and vice versa. Previous research in organizational theory has advanced understanding of how institutional logics and practices may be undermined (Battilana et al., 2009; Fan and Zietsma, 2017; Friedland and Alford, 1991) as a result of disruptive work taken by actors who seek to challenge the status quo (Fligstein and McAdam, 2012; Laud et al., 2015; Scott, 2001; Zietsma and Lawrence, 2010), as well as the influence of social movements upon market change (King and Pearce, 2010; Rao et al., 2008). Therefore, we extend understanding about the extant market system conditions that may tip challenger efforts into the lasting adoption of new institutional logics and practices (Micelotta et al., 2017; Nenonen et al., 2019). Accordingly, our synthesis of institutional theory and the MSD concept, coupled with examination of renewed advertising gender stereotyping logics and practices, permits the influences of evolving downstream market consumer needs and upstream market societal discourses to be recognized, as well as influences at the market meso-level. Such an approach encompasses the complex dynamics between consumers and organizations in social context (Chaney et al., 2016; Dolbec and Fischer, 2015; Scaraboto and Fischer, 2013). Our analysis reveals institutional and market transformation derived from both business performance and meaning-making processes in the consumption of advertising, as well as the shaping role of

advertising itself. In assembling various vantage points, our research offers further understanding of how contentious market logics gain permanence under a wider circle of influence.

Our study brings to light profound implications for advertising practice in focusing attention on its promise to influence social justice, and thereby contributes to burgeoning research on marketing for social transformation (Hein et al., 2016; Hult, 2011; Nenonen et al., 2019; Nolan and Varey, 2014). By pointing to the emerging value of gender progressive logics within the broad market system, we suggest there are clear business reasons for advertisers and brands to use such egalitarian and counter-stereotypical depictions. In this vein, our informants commented that they believed regulation had only a small influence on their emergent revised practices. Notwithstanding, in the United Kingdom, the Advertising Standards Authority have issued a new code of practice allowing advertising that features harmful gender stereotypes to be banned (ASA, 2019). While in the United States, the Association of National Advertisers offer a gender equality screening metric and training to its members to assist them with developing respectful, appropriate, positive depictions of women and girls (ANA, 2017). However, our informants conceived that any new regulation had followed change that was already in progress, rather than the other way around, thus verifying that revised logics and practices are the result of a range of market pressures. Nevertheless, the vital need to combat stereotypes cultivated in advertising calls for a unified and serious approach from the various regulatory bodies across the globe. We emphasize that advertising has made a significant contribution to legitimizing cultural heterogeneity, and as such, our data demonstrates that being 'woke' has become 'cool'. By framing empowered and progressive images of women as positive, advertising is able to promote gender equality as a wider idea in society, which in turn, enhances the ability of women to embrace themselves as valuable. With the intention of contributing to scholarly and industry conversations and action on responsible advertising to reduce distorted stereotyped representations, we propose that there is distinct opportunity to build on the strength and might of advertising to portray positive, inclusive representations of other marginalized groups in society, for example, based on race, age, sexuality, disability or those living in poverty. However, despite the usefulness of counter-stereotypical advertising depictions in signalling a brand as concerned with inequality and social justice, stereotypes in advertising have been far from eliminated. We agree with Sobande (2019) that there are manifold intricacies and subtleties involved in skewed marketplace representations of gender, and indeed other intersectional issues of marginalization, that still need to be untangled. Further, continued tensions between neoliberalism and post-modernism in the market suggest that gender equality market logics may not always be to the advantage of women. While the neoliberal approach emphasizes the legitimacy of the market as a context for individual exploration and identification with the world (Fitchett et al., 2014), a post-modern approach seeks to expose embedded power relationships in the market, taking a more holistic sociocultural view of consumer value creation (Cova et al., 2013). In this respect, the ongoing dominance of neoliberalist ideas of the marketplace indicate the contentious nature of gender equality market logics.

Finally, the limitations of our study suggest future avenues for research, for example, it would be valuable to analyse the detail of social interactions between various market actors at a more granular level. This would provide understanding about the nature of collective governance strategies that may result in the development and maintenance of renewed logics. Further research could also usefully address how market actors scan for shifting market system conditions, as well as broaden insights by considering the system-level influences upon a wide range of stakeholders, for example, media owners, activist organizations, policymakers and regulators. Future studies could also examine market shaping efforts within specific country contexts to better understand

how advertising gender portrayal logics and practices may be changing. For example, UN Women, the United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment, has launched the Unstereotype Alliance in the United Arab Emirates with the aim of eradicating harmful gender-based stereotypes in all advertising content in the country (Serrano, 2020). It would be valuable to undertake a longitudinal study to assess the impact of the work of a boundary organization of this type over time. Such research may also present the opportunity to understand more about how advertising actors revise their role within society and the new institutional arrangements that emerge to support revised logics and practices.


Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Karen Middleton  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7892-2230>

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Åkestam, N., Rosengren, S. and Dahlen, M. (2017) 'Advertising "Like a Girl": Toward a Better Understanding of "Femvertising" and Its Effects', *Psychology & Marketing* 34(8): 795–806.
- ANA (March, 2017) 'Reality Check: New Equality Measure Targets Gender Bias in Ads and Media', Available at: <https://www.ana.net/content/show/id/43814> (accessed 22 July 2021).
- ASA (June, 2019) *Ban on harmful gender stereotypes in ads comes into force*. Available at: <https://www.asa.org.uk/news/ban-on-harmful-gender-stereotypes-in-ads-comes-into-force.html> (accessed 22 July 2021).
- Baker, J.J. and Nenonen, S. (2020) 'Collaborating to Shape Markets: Emergent Collective Market Work', *Industrial Marketing Management* 85: 240–53.
- Baker, J.J., Storbacka, K. and Brodie, R.J. (2019) 'Markets Changing, Changing Markets: Institutional Work as Market Shaping', *Marketing Theory* 19(3): 301–28.
- Battilana, J., Leca, B. and Boxenbaum, E. (2009) 'How Actors Change Institutions: Towards A Theory of Institutional Entrepreneurship', *Academy of Management Annals* 3(1): 65–107.
- Belk, R. and Sobh, R. (2019) 'No Assemblage Required: On Pursuing Original Consumer Culture Theory', *Marketing Theory* 19(4): 489–507.
- Champlin, S., Sterbenk, Y., Windels, K., et al. (2019) 'How Brand-Cause Fit Shapes Real World Advertising Messages: A Qualitative Exploration of "Femvertising"', *International Journal of Advertising* 38(8): 1240–63.
- Chaney, D., Ben Slimane, K. and Humphreys, A. (2016) 'Megamarketing Expanded by Neo-Institutional Theory', *Journal of Strategic Marketing* 24(6): 470–83.
- Coleman, C.A., Zayer, L.T. and Karaca, Ö.H. (2020) 'Institutional Logics, Gender, and Advertising Within a Culture in Transition: Examining Strategies of Advertising Professionals in Turkey for Managing Institutional Complexity', *Journal of Macromarketing* 40(4): 510–27.
- Cook, G. (2001) *The Discourse of Advertising*. London: Psychology Press.

- Cova, B., Maclaran, P. and Bradshaw, A. (2013) 'Rethinking Consumer Culture Theory From the Postmodern to The Communist Horizon', *Marketing Theory* 13(2): 213–25.
- Dávila, A. (2012). *Latinos, Inc.: The Marketing and Making of A People*. Berkeley, CA: Univ of California Press.
- Dolbec, P.Y. and Fischer, E. (2015) 'Refashioning a Field? Connected Consumers and Institutional Dynamics in Markets', *Journal of Consumer Research* 41(6): 1447–68.
- Eisend, M. (2010) 'A Meta-Analysis of Gender Roles in Advertising', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 38(4): 418–440.
- Eisend, M. (2019) 'Gender Roles. *Journal of Advertising* 48(1): 72–80.
- Ertekin, Z., Atik, D. and Murray, J.B. (2020) 'The Logic of Sustainability: Institutional Transformation Towards a New Culture of Fashion', *Journal of Marketing Management* 36: 1–34.
- Ertekin, Z.O. and Atik, D. (2020) 'Institutional Constituents of Change for a Sustainable Fashion System', *Journal of Macromarketing* 40(3): 362–79.
- Ertimur, B. and Coskuner-Balli, G. (2015) 'Navigating the Institutional Logics of Markets: Implications for Strategic Brand Management', *Journal of Marketing* 79(2): 40–61.
- Fan, G.H. and Zietsma, C. (2017) 'Constructing a Shared Governance Logic: The Role of Emotions in Enabling Dually Embedded Agency', *Academy of Management Journal* 60(6): 2321–51.
- Fitchett, J.A., Patsiaouras, G. and Davies, A. (2014) 'Myth and Ideology in Consumer Culture Theory', *Marketing Theory* 14(4): 495–506.
- Fligstein, N. and McAdam, D. (2012) *A Theory of Fields*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Friedland, R. and Alford, R.R. (1991) in W. W. Powell and P. J. DiMaggio (eds) *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press. pp. 232–63.
- Frith, K.T. and Mueller, B. (2010) *Advertising and Societies: Global Issues*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Furnham, A. and Mak, T. (1999) 'Sex-Role Stereotyping in Television Commercials: A Review and Comparison of Fourteen Studies Done On Five Continents Over 25 Years', *Sex roles* 41(5-6): 413–37.
- Gentry, J. and Harrison, R. (2010) 'Is Advertising a Barrier to Male Movement Toward Gender Change?' *Marketing Theory* 10(1): 74–96.
- Ghaffari, M., Jafari, A. and Sandikci, O. (2019) 'The Role of Mundane and Subtle Institutional Work in Market Dynamics: A Case of Fashion Clothing Market', *Journal of Business Research* 105: 434–42.
- Giesler, M. (2008) 'Conflict and Compromise: Drama in Marketplace Evolution', *Journal of Consumer Research* 34(6): 739–53.
- Giesler, M. and Fischer, E. (2017) 'Market System Dynamics', *Marketing Theory* 17(1): 3–8.
- Gioia, D.A., Corley, K.G. and Hamilton, A.L. (2013) 'Seeking Qualitative Rigor in Inductive Research: Notes on The Gioia Methodology', *Organizational Research Methods* 16(1): 15–31.
- Grabe, S., Ward, L.M. and Hyde, J.S. (2008) 'The Role of The Media in Body Image Concerns Among Women: A Meta-Analysis of Experimental and Correlational Studies', *Psychological bulletin* 134(3): 460–76.
- Guirriero, L., Brace-Govan, J. and Cherrier, H. (2016) 'Controversial Advertising: Transgressing The Taboo of Gender-Based Violence', *European Journal of Marketing* 50(7/8): 1448–69.
- Hartman, A.E. and Coslor, E. (2019). 'Earning While Giving: Rhetorical Strategies for Navigating Multiple Institutional Logics in Reproductive Commodification', *Journal of Business Research* 105: 405–19.
- Hein, W., Steinfield, L., Ourahmoune, N., et al. (2016). 'Gender Justice and The Market: A Transformative Consumer Research Perspective', *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* 35(2): 223–36.
- Hsu, C.K.J. (2018) 'Femvertising: State of the Art', *Journal of Brand Strategy* 7(1): 28–47.
- Hult, T. (2011) 'Market-Focused Sustainability: Market Orientation Plus!', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 39: 1–6.
- Humphreys, A. (2010) 'Semiotic Structure and The Legitimation of Consumption Practices: The Case of Casino Gambling', *Journal of Consumer Research* 37(3): 490–510.
- Jaakkola, E. (2020) 'Designing Conceptual Articles: Four Approaches', *AMS Review* 10: 1–9.

- King, B.G. and Pearce, N.A. (2010) 'The Contentiousness of Markets: Politics, Social Movements, and Institutional Change in Markets', *Annual Review of Sociology* 36: 249–67.
- Kjeldgaard, D., Askegaard, S., Rasmussen, J.Ø., et al (2017). 'Consumers' Collective Action in Market System Dynamics: A Case of Beer', *Marketing Theory* 17(1): 51–70.
- Kjellberg, H. and Olson, D. (2017) 'Joint Markets: How Adjacent Markets Influence The Formation of Regulated Markets', *Marketing Theory* 17(1): 95–123.
- Krippendorff, K. (2009) *The Content Analysis Reader*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Laud, G., Karpen, I.O., Mulye, R., et al. (2015). 'The Role of Embeddedness for Resource Integration: Complementing SD Logic Research Through a Social Capital Perspective', *Marketing Theory* 15(4): 509–43.
- Leiss, W., Kline, S., Jhally, S., et al. (2018) *Social Communication in Advertising: Consumption in The Mediated Marketplace*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Maclaran, P. (2015) 'Feminism's Fourth Wave: A Research Agenda for Marketing and Consumer Research', *Journal of Marketing Management* 31(15-16): 1732–38.
- Maclaran, P. and Catterall, M. (2000) 'Bridging The Knowledge Divide: Issues on The Feminisation of Marketing Practice', *Journal of Marketing Management* 16(6): 635–46.
- Maclean, M., Harvey, C. and Kling, G. (2014) 'Pathways to Power: Class, Hyper-Agency and the French Corporate Elite', *Organization Studies* 35(6): 825–55.
- Matthes, J., Prieler, M. and Adam, K. (2016) 'Gender-Role Portrayals in Television Advertising Across The Globe', *Sex Roles* 75(7-8): 314–27.
- Maxwell, J.A. (2021) 'Why Qualitative Methods are Necessary for Generalization', *Qualitative Psychology* 8(1): 111–18.
- McConnell, A. (2018) 'Rethinking Wicked Problems as Political Problems and Policy Problems', *Policy & Politics* 46(1): 165–80.
- Micelotta, E., Lounsbury, M. and Greenwood, R. (2017) 'Pathways of Institutional Change: An Integrative Review and Research Agenda', *Journal of Management* 43(6): 1885–1910.
- Middleton, K., Turnbull, S. and de Oliveira, M.J. (2020) 'Female Role Portrayals in Brazilian Advertising: Are Outdated Cultural Stereotypes Preventing Change?' *International Journal of Advertising* 39(5): 679–98.
- Nenonen, S., Storbacka, K. and Windahl, C. (2019) 'Capabilities for Market-Shaping: Triggering and Facilitating Increased Value Creation', *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 47(4): 617–39.
- Nolan, T. and Varey, R.J. (2014) 'Re-Cognising The Interactive Space: Marketing for Social Transformation', *Marketing Theory* 14(4): 431–50.
- Pew Research Centre (2019) A Changing World: Global Views on Diversity, Gender Equality, Family Life and the Importance of Religion. Available at: <https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2019/04/22/how-people-around-the-world-view-diversity-in-their-countries/> (accessed 22 July 2021).
- Pollay, R.W. (1986) 'The Distorted Mirror: Reflections on The Unintended Consequences of Advertising', *Journal of marketing* 50(2): 18–36.
- Rao, H. (2008) *Market Rebels: How Activists Make or Break Radical Innovations*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Regany, F., Benmecheddal, A., Belkhir, M., et al. (2021) 'Conflicting Coexistence of Legitimation and Delegitimation Logics in a Revived Market: The Case of a Traditional Clothing Market', *Journal of Business Research* 123: 438–49.
- Rubery, J. (2019) 'The Founding of The Gender, Work and Organization Journal: Reflections 25 Years On', *Gender, Work & Organization* 26(1): 9–17.
- Scaraboto, D. and Fischer, E. (2013) 'Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets', *Journal of Consumer Research* 39(6): 1234–57.
- Scott, W.R. (2001) *Institutions and Organizations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Serrano, S. (2020) *UAE launches national chapter of UN's Unstereotype Alliance*. Available at: <https://campaignme.com/uae-launches-national-chapter-of-uns-unstereotype-alliance/> (accessed 22 July 2021).
- Shao, Y., Desmarais, F. and Kay Weaver, C. (2014) 'Chinese Advertising Practitioners' Conceptualisation of Gender Representation', *International Journal of Advertising* 33(2): 329–50.
- Smith Maguire, J. (2010) 'Provenance and The Liminality of Production and Consumption: The Case of Wine Promoters', *Marketing Theory* 10(3): 269–82.
- Sobande, F. (2019) 'Woke-Washing: "Intersectional" Femvertising and Branding "Woke" Bravery', *European Journal of Marketing* 54(11): 2723–45.
- Thompson, C.J. and Coskuner-Balli, G. (2007) 'Countervailing Market Responses to Corporate Co-optation and The Ideological Recruitment of Consumption Communities', *Journal of Consumer Research* 34(2): 135–52.
- Thompson-Whiteside, H. and Turnbull, S. (2020) '#Metovertising: The Institutional Work of Creative Women Looking to Change The Rules of The Advertising Game', *Journal of Marketing Management* (Ahead-of print).
- Tuncay Zayer, L. and Coleman, C.A. (2015) 'Advertising Professionals' Perceptions of The Impact of Gender Portrayals on Men and Women: A Question of Ethics?' *Journal of Advertising* 44(3): 1–12.
- Vance, K., Sutter, M., Perrin, P.B., et al. (2015) 'The Media's Sexual Objectification of Women, Rape Myth Acceptance, and Interpersonal Violence', *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma* 24(5): 569–87.
- Ward, L.M. (2016) 'Media and Sexualization: State of Empirical Research, 1995–2015', *The Journal of Sex Research* 53(4-5): 560–77.
- Windels, K. (2016) 'Stereotypical or Just Typical: How Do US Practitioners View The Role and Function of Gender Stereotypes in Advertisements?' *International Journal of Advertising* 35(5): 864–87.
- Wolin, L.D. (2003) 'Gender Issues in Advertising: An Oversight Synthesis of Research: 1970-2002', *Journal of Advertising Research* 43(1): 111–30.
- Zanette, M.C. and Scaraboto, D. (2019) "'To Spanx or Not to Spanx": How Objects That Carry Contradictory Institutional Logics Trigger Identity Conflict for Consumers', *Journal of Business Research* 105: 443–53.
- Zhao, X. and Belk, R.W. (2008) 'Politicizing Consumer Culture: Advertising's Appropriation of Political Ideology in China's Social Transition', *Journal of Consumer Research* 35(2): 231–44.
- Zietsma, C. and Lawrence, T.B. (2010) 'Institutional Work in The Transformation of An Organizational Field: The Interplay of Boundary Work and Practice Work', *Administrative Science Quarterly* 55(2): 189–221.

Karen Middleton is a senior lecturer in Marketing and PhD student at the University of Portsmouth. She holds an MSc in Research Methods. Her doctoral research examines how and why gender stereotypes in advertising portrayals are being eroded. This article forms the central article of her PhD by compilation thesis, while another is published in the *International Journal of Advertising*. Karen brings several years' experience of working in marketing communications to her current research and teaching. Address: University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth Faculty of Business and Law, Richmond Building, Portland Street, Portsmouth PO1 3DE, UK. [email: karen.middleton@port.ac.uk]

Sarah Turnbull is a reader in Advertising at the University of Portsmouth. She is co-author of *Marketing Communications: touch points, sharing and disruption* (2019) and Associate Editor for the *Journal of Marketing Management*. Sarah is on the Editorial Review Board of the *International Journal of Advertising* and the *Journal of Advertising Education*. She has published over 70 papers, books, articles and cases. Sarah is a Fellow of The Chartered Institute of Marketing and a Freeman of The Worshipful Company of Marketors. Sarah has an advertising agency background and led the Emirates account in Dubai for 8 years. Address: University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth Faculty of Business and Law, Richmond Building, Portland Street, Portsmouth PO1 3DE, UK. [email: sarah.turnbull@port.ac.uk]