

Taking stock of organizational psychology in sport: An introduction to the special issue

Chris Wagstaff, University of Portsmouth

Welcome to this special issue of *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* dedicated to Organizational Sport Psychology. In this introduction, my goal is to provide some background to organizational sport psychology to “take stock” of the emergence and key lines of inquiry of this domain, before outlining the contributions contained within the issue. Further, this special issue concludes with an editorial epilogue in which I offer a commentary on these articles and some general reflections on organizational sport psychology.

Organizational sport psychology is a subfield of sport psychology which is dedicated to better understanding individual behavior and social processes in sport organizations to promote organizational functioning. That is, the focus of organizational sport psychology is to develop knowledge that supports the development of optimally functioning sport organizations through the enhancement of day-to-day experiences for those that operate within their sphere of influence (cf. Fletcher & Wagstaff, 2009; Wagstaff, 2017a). This knowledge can be used in a variety of ways through interventions at the individual, group, or organizational level, and thus, organizational sport psychology reflects a broad church for academic study and an increasingly necessary aspect of practitioner competency (see Sly, Mellalieu, & Wagstaff, in press). To clarify, the potential focus on individuals or groups within organizational sport psychology may strike some readers as odd, but groups and organizations don’t behave, *people* do. Hence, to understand group and organizational level phenomena one must often understand how a given process or concept influences and is influenced by the individual. This consideration of individual level processes helps to put the *psychology* in organizational sport psychology and distinguish this subfield from sport sociology and management, which also include the study of sport environments. Nevertheless, and in taking this wordplay further, it is also important to

1
2
3 emphasize the *organizational* in organizational sport psychology! Hence, researchers and
4
5 practitioners might also seek to understand how macro organizational processes and concepts in
6
7 sport influence *individual* behavior, as well as seeking to illuminate macro processes within
8
9 organizations in their own right. A broad church indeed.

10
11
12 When describing the emergence of organizational psychology in sport scholars (see, e.g.,
13
14 Fletcher & Wagstaff, 2009; Wagstaff & Lerner, 2015; Wagstaff, 2017b) have frequently referred
15
16 to an oft-quoted passage from Hardy, Jones and Gould's (1996) seminal sport psychology text;
17
18 borrowing from Shaw's (1981) work on social environments, Hardy et al. concluded their book by
19
20 noting that "elite athletes do not live in a vacuum; they function within a highly complex social
21
22 and organizational environment, which exerts major influences on them and their
23
24 performances" (pp. 239-240). Allied with Hardy et al.'s vacuum analogy of the environments in
25
26 which elite sport performers prepare and perform, there are many dangers of what I would label a
27
28 "myth of individualism" (Wagstaff, 2017a, p. 3). That is, the fallacy that has prevailed in society –
29
30 and sport cultures – that sporting success or failure is largely determined by a combination of
31
32 individual effort and ability, or in team sports, the sum of the individual parts. Surely, if a sport
33
34 organization can acquire and select the best athletes, their team will win? Not always, and certainly
35
36 not over the course of lengthy performance cycles (e.g., quadrennial championships, cups, and
37
38 Olympiads). The power of this myth of individualism lies in its promotion of a social fixation on
39
40 talent and eliding of the salience of a wealth of interpersonal, group, and organizational factors that
41
42 impact performance and wellbeing. This is not to say that elite sport performers do not require
43
44 talent, or that this cannot be nurtured and supplemented with individual effort; indeed, such factors
45
46 are pivotal for initial success and might be largely responsible for fugacious or underdog triumphs.
47
48 Nevertheless, *sustained* success and wellbeing –
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the experience of thriving – in elite sport is predicated on looking beyond a perspective that
4 individualism or related ephemeral factors (e.g., talent, deliberate practice, religious dogma,
5 effort, luck, physical prowess, individual psychological strength) alone can result in ongoing
6 success. To elaborate, recurrent success in elite sport is not dependent on the talent (i.e., embodied
7 competence) of individual performers, but how effectively these individuals build and maintain
8 working relationships with a systematic collective of social agents (e.g., coaches, managers, other
9 performers, support staff, administrators, agents), supports (e.g., scientific, medical, and
10 technological expertise), networks (e.g., personal social support) and bodies (e.g., sport
11 organizations, commercial sponsors) to optimize day-to-day engagement and productivity in
12 preparation for and performance at major competitions (see Wagstaff, Fletcher, & Hanton,
13 2012a).

14
15 In addition to the importance of dispelling the myth of individualism for sporting success,
16 another important factor in the emergence of organizational sport psychology is the need to view
17 sport organizations as more than systematized collectives aimed at promoting success. Sport
18 organizations are increasingly being held accountable for their role in developing and maintaining
19 cultures that promote a duty of care and wellbeing for all individuals within their sphere of
20 influence, and as agents of change toward social responsibility, diversity and justice. Hence, one
21 value of organizational sport psychology lies in its provision of a home for the examination and
22 facilitation of factors that debunk the erroneous belief that talent alone prevails, and that sport
23 environments are places of development, work, and change requiring the support of people
24 fulfilling a range of roles with requisite rights, needs and expectations. It is through the promotion
25 of such values that I believe sport psychologists can enable individuals, groups and organizations
26 in sport to thrive.

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In line with the growing acknowledgement of the importance of organizational issues in
4 elite sport, several recent publications have summarized the emergence, application and potential
5 futures for this domain. Specifically, in 2009 an article by David Fletcher and I (Fletcher &
6 Wagstaff, 2009) reviewed the nascent body of research concerned with the emergence of
7 organizational issues in elite sport. Specifically, we reviewed six lines of inquiry pointing to the
8 salience of these issues: factors affecting Olympic performance; organizational stress; perceptions
9 of roles; organizational success factors; performance environments in elite sport; and organizational
10 citizenship behavior. Wagstaff, Fletcher and Hanton (2012b) reviewed the literature relating to the
11 *positive* aspects of organizational psychology research in sport. Within their review, Wagstaff et al.
12 defined and delimited relevant concepts, including organizational psychology and positive
13 organizing, with a particular emphasis on extant research relating to organizational functioning in
14 sport (i.e., positive environments, positive behaviors, and positive outcomes) and a call for
15 attention to be paid to topics such as culture, climate and change, in addition to those aligned with
16 positive organizational behavior and scholarship (see Wagstaff et al., 2012b). Wagstaff and Lerner
17 (2015) then provided a review of the recent developments in the literature relating to organizational
18 psychology in sport. In doing so, they delimited and demystified organizational psychology from
19 similar metamorphoses of industrial and organizational psychology. Most recently, a first edited
20 collection of lines of inquiry within organizational sport psychology was published (Wagstaff,
21 2017a), and provided a four-category organizing structure to align extant and potential future lines
22 of inquiry within the field. This organizing structure for research and application was based on four
23 complementary areas: emotions and attitudes (e.g., Hings, Wagstaff, Anderson, Gilmore, &
24 Thelwell, 2018; Wagstaff et al., 2012b; Wagstaff, Hanton, & Fletcher, 2013; Wagstaff & Hanton,
25 2017); stress and
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 wellbeing (e.g., Arnold & Fletcher, 2012; Arnold, Fletcher, & Daniels, 2013; Arnold, Wagstaff,
4 Steadman, & Pratt, 2017; Fletcher, Hanton, & Mellalieu, 2006; Lerner, Wagstaff, Corbett, &
5 Thelwell, 2017); organizational behavior (e.g., Aoyagi, Cox, & McGuire, 2008; Arthur, Wagstaff,
6 & Hardy, 2017; Fletcher & Arnold, 2011); and high performance environments (e.g., Henriksen &
7 Stambulova, 2017; Jones, Gittens, & Hardy, 2009; Martin, Eys, & Spink, 2017; Pain, Harwood, &
8 Mullen, 2012). Collectively, this work has contributed to a burgeoning body of research examining
9 organizational life in sport and showcased the salience and utility of organizational sport
10 psychology as a field of research and practice.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 To segue from the past to the present, I'd now like to introduce the articles in this issue. As I
22 do so, I am reminded of the breadth of foci in these articles from scholars around the world which
23 present diverse work using interview, questionnaire, systematic review, intervention, and case
24 study designs.
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 Arnold and colleagues present a study in which they explored the organizational stressors
32 encountered by the "team behind the team" (viz., those operating in sport science and management
33 roles) in elite sport and the consequences these can have. In doing so, the authors report data from
34 interviews with an impressive sample of forty support personnel working in elite sport. Such work
35 goes some way to illuminating the educational needs of support staff, help prepare practitioners for
36 working in such environments, and raise awareness among sport organizations regarding their duty
37 of care to employees.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 Tamminen, Sabiston and Crocker offer a novel examination of organizational stressors as
48 "background variables" that may impact athletes' perceptions of support and appraisals of
49 competitive stressors. They report data from a prospective sample of varsity athletes regarding their
50 perceptions of available esteem support, organizational stressors, competitive appraisals,
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and performance satisfaction. The inclusion of social support and novel consideration of
4
5 organizational stressors as a mechanistic rather than an independent variable offers much to this
6
7 line of inquiry, while also highlighting important considerations for the differential role of
8
9 organizational stressors for athletes' sport experiences.
10
11

12 Randall, Nielsen and Houdmont offer a timely consideration of the value of process
13
14 evaluation for stressor reduction research in sport organizations. That is, the authors provide an
15
16 overview of typical stressor reduction intervention design and implementation processes, and how
17
18 and why the contexts in which they occur impact their effect. They conclude with advice and
19
20 practical recommendations for sport psychologists who implement stressor reduction interventions.
21
22
23

24 Slater and Barker present their work on the efficacy of a leadership intervention
25
26 underpinned by social identity principals in elite disability sport. Reporting the findings of a
27
28 workshop program delivered over a 2-year period, the authors showcase changes in social
29
30 identification leadership displayed by staff, and hours of practice completed away from training
31
32 camps when compared to baseline. That no significant change in mobilization of effort was
33
34 observed does not detract from the broader knowledge development emanating from this study.
35
36
37

38 Molan, Kelly, Arnold and Matthews systematically review the research on performance
39
40 management across elite sport and other performance domains (i.e., business, performing arts,
41
42 high-risk professions). This work extends the historical tradition of *JASP* publishing work which
43
44 compares and contrasts elite sport with other performance domains (see Weinberg & McDermott,
45
46 2002) and serves to highlight several implications for practitioners in elite sport.
47
48

49 Gledhill and Harwood present a research note reporting the findings of a study that
50
51 explored female football players' perceptions of their talent development environments in the
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 United Kingdom using the Talent Development Environment Questionnaire. In doing so, the
4
5 authors were able to identify athletes' most and least positive perceptions of their environment.
6
7 These data provide several applied considerations for sport psychologists regarding the provision
8
9 of support in: (a) planning for football-specific development and career progression, (b)
10
11 communication with key social agents, and (c) holistic player development and well-being.
12
13

14
15 Finally, Martin and Eys present a case study investigating the selection process of a high-
16
17 performance military team and explore the potential implications for sport through an
18
19 organizational psychology perspective. Using an instrumental case study design, the authors
20
21 showcase a range of strategies used in the recruitment, selection, and socialization of candidates.
22
23 Moreover, they describe these processes in relation to candidate and veteran perceptions and
24
25 contextualize them with regards to candidate motivation for membership and the broader
26
27 environment.
28
29

30
31 These articles wonderfully reflect the diverse lines of inquiry, social agent groups, and
32
33 methodologies used in the field of organizational sport psychology. Yet, the consistent thread of
34
35 this work is the researchers' commitment to improving the day-to-day experiences of individuals
36
37 that operate within these organizations.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Aoyagi, M. W., Cox, R. H., & McGuire, R. T. (2008). Organizational citizenship behavior in sport: Relationships with leadership, team cohesion, and athlete satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 20*(1), 25–41.
- Arnold, R., & Fletcher, D. (2012). A research synthesis and taxonomic classification of the organizational stressors encountered by sport performers. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 34*(3), 397–429.
- Arnold, R., Fletcher, D., & Daniels, K. (2013). Development and validation of the organizational stressor indicator for sport performers (OSI-SP). *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 35*(2), 180–196.
- Arnold, R., Wagstaff, C. R. D., Steadman, L., & Pratt, Y. (2017). The organisational stressors encountered by athletes with a disability. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 35*(12), 1187–1196.
- Arthur, C. A., Wagstaff, C. R. D., & Hardy, L. (2017). Leadership in sport organizations. In C. R. D. Wagstaff (Ed.), *The organizational psychology of sport: Key issues and practical applications* (pp. 153–175). Abingdon: Routledge.
- Fletcher, D., & Arnold, R. (2011). A qualitative study of performance leadership and management in elite sport. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 23*(2), 223–242.
- Fletcher, D., Hanton, S., & Mellalieu, S. D. (2006). An organizational stress review: Conceptual and theoretical issues in competitive sport. In S. Hanton & S. D. Mellalieu (Eds.), *Literature reviews in sport psychology* (pp. 321–373). Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science.
- Fletcher, D., & Wagstaff, C. R. D. (2009). Organizational psychology in elite sport: Its emergence, application and future. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 10*(4), 427–434.

- 1
2
3 Gilmore, S., Wagstaff, C. R. D., & Smith, J. (2018). Sports psychology in the English Premier
4 League: 'It feels precarious and is precarious'. *Work, Employment and Society, 32*(2),
5 426–435.
6
7
8
9
10 Hardy, L. J., Jones, G., & Gould, D. (1996). *Understanding psychological preparation for sport:
11 Theory and practice of elite performers*. John Wiley & Sons.
12
13
14
15 Henriksen, K., & Stambulova, N. (2017). Creating optimal environments for talent development:
16 A holistic ecological approach. In J. Baker, S. Cobley, J. Schorer & N. Wattie (Eds.),
17 *Routledge handbook of talent identification and development in sport* (pp. 271–284).
18 Abingdon: Routledge.
19
20
21
22
23
24 Hings, R. F., Wagstaff, C. R. D., Anderson, V., Gilmore, S., & Thelwell, R. C. (2018).
25 Professional challenges in elite sports medicine and science: Composite vignettes of
26 practitioner emotional labor. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise, 35*, 66–73.
27
28
29
30
31 Jones, G., Gittins, M., & Hardy, L. (2009). Creating an environment where high performance is
32 inevitable and sustainable: The high-performance environment model. *Annual Review of
33 High Performance Coaching & Consulting, 10*, 139–149.
34
35
36
37
38 Larner, R. J., Wagstaff, C. R. D., Thelwell, R. C., & Corbett, J. (2017). A multistudy
39 examination of organizational stressors, emotional labor, burnout, and turnover in sport
40 organizations. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 27*(12), 2103-
41 2115.
42
43
44
45
46
47 Martin, L. J., Eys, M. A., & Spink, K. S. (2017). The social environment in sport organizations.
48 In C. R. D. Wagstaff (Ed.), *The organizational psychology of sport: Key issues and
49 practical applications* (pp. 217–234). Abington: Routledge.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Pain, M. A., Harwood, C., & Mullen, R. (2012). Improving the performance environment of a
4
5 soccer team during a competitive season: An exploratory action research study. *The Sport*
6
7 *Psychologist*, 26(3), 390–411.
8
9
- 10 Shaw, M. E. (1981). *Group dynamics: The psychology of small group behavior*. New York:
11
12 McGraw-Hill.
13
- 14 Sly, D., Mellalieu, S. D., & Wagstaff, C. R. D. (in press). It's psychology Jim, but not as we
15
16 know it! The changing face of applied sport psychology practice. *Sport, Exercise,*
17
18 *& Performance Psychology*.
19
20
- 21 Wagstaff, C. R. D. (2017a). Organizational psychology in sport: An introduction. In Wagstaff, C.
22
23 R. D. (Ed.), *The organizational psychology of sport: Key issues and practical*
24
25 *applications* (pp. 1-7). Abingdon: Routledge.
26
27
- 28 Wagstaff, C. R. D. (Ed.). (2017b). *The organizational psychology of sport: Key issues and*
29
30 *practical applications*. Abingdon: Routledge.
31
32
- 33 Wagstaff, C. R. D., Fletcher, D., & Hanton, S. (2012a). Positive organizational psychology in
34
35 sport: An ethnography of organizational functioning in a national sport organization.
36
37 *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 24(1), 26-47. doi:10.1080/10413200.2011.589423
38
39
- 40 Wagstaff, C. R. D., Fletcher, D., & Hanton, S. (2012b). Positive organizational psychology in
41
42 sport. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 5(2), 87-103.
43
44 doi:10.1080/1750984X.2011.634920
45
46
- 47 Wagstaff, C. R. D., & Hanton, S. (2017). Emotions in sport organizations. In C. R. D. Wagstaff
48
49 (Ed.), *The organizational psychology of sport: Key issues and practical applications* (pp.
50
51 33–61). Abingdon: Routledge.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Wagstaff, C. R. D., Hanton, S., & Fletcher, D. (2013). Developing emotion abilities and
4
5 regulation strategies in a sport organization: An action research intervention. *Psychology*
6
7 *of Sport & Exercise, 14*(4), 476–487.
8
9
- 10 Wagstaff, C. R. D., & Larner, R. J. (2015). Organisational psychology in sport: Recent
11
12 developments and a research agenda. In S. D. Mellalieu & S. Hanton (Eds.)
13
14 *Contemporary advances in sport psychology: A review* (pp. 91-119). London: Routledge.
15
16
- 17 Wagstaff, C. R. D., Thelwell, R. C., & Gilmore, S. (2016). Sport medicine and sport science
18
19 practitioners' experiences of organizational change. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine &*
20
21 *Science in Sport, 25*(5), 685-698.
22
23
- 24 Weinberg, R., & McDermott, M. (2002). A comparative analysis of sport and business
25
26 organizations: Factors perceived critical for organizational success. *Journal of Applied*
27
28 *Sport Psychology, 14*(4), 282-298.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60