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Abstract

The Minas-Bahia orogeny juxtaposed Archean crustal fragments and Paleoproterozoic magmatic arcs to form the São Francisco-Congo Paleocontinent by the Rhyacian (ca. 2.05 Ga). Unravelling the Minas segment of the Minas-Bahia Orogenic Belt (MBO) is an important key to understanding the role of the São Francisco-Congo Paleocontinent in the construction of the Columbia Supercontinent. The Orosirian (ca. 1.9 Ga) final amalgamation of Columbia was preceded by a complex history of accretion of Archean nuclei and Proterozoic magmatic arcs. We present new whole-rock element geochemistry and isotopic (Rb-Sr, Sm-Nd) data and U-Pb ages for granitoid rocks of the main basement complexes located in the southern part of the São Francisco cratonic tip, which displays varied degrees of Neoproterozoic reworking related to the Brasiliano orogeny. Published data for the Campo Belo Complex, the Mineiro Belt and the Piedade Block are combined with the new data set to propose an integrated model for the tectonic evolution of the Minas segment of the MBO. This evolutionary model documents a complete Paleoproterozoic orogenic cycle, from subduction with terrane accretion to collision, followed by late-orogenic collapse. Subduction started diachronously between ca. 2.4 Ga and 2.2 Ga involving various Archean nuclei and Paleoproterozoic magmatic arcs that were later amalgamated during two collisional events at ca. 2.10 and 2.05 Ga. The oldest tonalite-trondhjemite-granodiorite (TTG) to sanukitoid magmatic suites transition are of Neoarchean age in the Piedade block, and of Paleoproterozoic age in the Mineiro belt and Mantiqueira complex, apparently indicating a diachronous onset of plate tectonic processes in different crustal segments. The petrogenesis, geochronology and isotopic signatures of these granitoid rocks provide important evidence towards understanding the periodicity of tectonic processes associated with the supercontinent cycle throughout Earth history.
1. Introduction

Magmatic rocks typical of the Archean (> 2.5 Ga) and Paleoproterozoic (2.5 to 2 Ga), such as tonalite-trondhjemite-granodiorite (TTG) association, sanukitoid and K-rich granites, are considered key indicators of the tectonic mechanisms that took place during early Earth history (e.g. Sun et. al., 2020; Laurent et. al., 2019; Moyen & Laurent, 2018; Rajesh et. al., 2018; Laurent, et. al., 2014; Moyen & Martin, 2012; Næraa et. al., 2012; Heilimo et. al., 2010; Halla et. al., 2009). The petrogenesis, geochronology and isotopic signatures of these granitoid rocks provide important evidence towards understanding the periodicity of tectonic processes associated with the supercontinent cycle throughout Earth history.

Various geochemical, isotopic and geochronological proxies can be used to determine the geodynamic settings of contrasting tectono-stratigraphic terranes and hence to develop tectonic models for the episodic assembly and break-up of supercontinents (Nance, 2019; Murphy & Nance, 2012; Condie, 2011; Hawkesworth et. al., 2010; Santosh & Zhao, 2009; Rogers & Santosh, 2002; Zhao, et. al., 2002).

The existence of the Columbia supercontinent during Paleo- and Mesoproterozoic times has been proposed with basis on paleomagnetic data as well as geological links between different continental blocks (e.g. Caxito et. al., 2020b; D’Agrella-Filho et. al., 2020; Iaccheri & Bargas, 2020; Wu et. al., 2020; D’Agrella-Filho & Cordani, 2017; Meert & Santosh, 2017; Xu et. al., 2014; Condie, 2013). Though the reconstruction of Archean and Proterozoic supercontinents is challenging due to the incomplete geologic record and reworking during later orogenic processes, their recognition can aid understanding of tectonic processes and mantle dynamics in those ages (e.g. Cawood et. al., 2016; Heron
et. al., 2015; Cawood & Buchan, 2007; Collins & Pisarevsky, 2005; Lowman & Jarvis, 1995).

The Paleoproterozoic orogenic belts of Brazil have crucial role in reconstructive models of the Columbia supercontinent, through the convergence and amalgamation of older, Archean continental fragments and new crust accretion through subduction. These orogenic belts are exposed both within the major cratons, such as the São Francisco, and as reworked basement inliers within the surrounding Neoproterozoic orogens. One of the most studied Paleoproterozoic orogenic belts, and focus of this work, is the Minas-Bahia Orogen (MBO), with the northern (Bahia) and southern (Minas) segments (Figure 1b).

The tectonic evolution of the MBO in the southern São Francisco Craton is reasonably constrained by a host of new data recently produced, as recently summarized by Teixeira et. al. (2017a). For a better understanding of this important Paleoproterozoic orogen as a whole, we present new geochemical, U-Pb geochronological, and isotopic Rb-Sr and Sm-Nd data from the basement inliers within the adjacent Neoproterozoic orogenic systems (Figure 1). We also propose new correlations with other cratonic blocks involved in the assembly of the Columbia supercontinent, e.g. the North China block, indicating a diachronous onset of plate tectonic processes in different crustal segments.

2. Tectonic Framework of the São Francisco Paleocontinent

The São Francisco-Congo Craton comprises various Paleoproterozoic orogenic belts and Archean nuclei that were largely unaffected by Neoproterozoic reworking during the west Gondwana supercontinent assembly (Figure 1a, b) (Alkmim & Teixeira, 2017; Caxito et. al., 2017; Teixeira et. al., 2017a; Valeriano et. al., 2017). However, these Neoproterozoic orogens contain slivers of reworked Paleoproterozoic and Archean basement associations that are comparable in most respects to those within the São Francisco-Congo Craton.
We therefore refer to the broader São Francisco Paleocontinent, which incorporates all the Archean and Paleoproterozoic units (Figure 1b).

The northern portion of the São Francisco Paleocontinent comprises a number of tectono-stratigraphic terranes that were diachronously amalgamated during the Minas-Bahia Orogeny (MBO): the Archean Gavião and Jequié blocks; the Paleoproterozoic Itabuna-Salvador-Curaçá Belt, characterized by both recycled and juvenile crustal additions; and the mainly juvenile Paleoproterozoic Buerarema Complex (Bersan et al., 2018; Aguilar et al., 2017; Barbosa & Barbosa, 2017; Cruz et al., 2016; Silva et al., 2016; Barbosa & Sabaté, 2004; Silva et al., 2002; Brito Neves et al., 1999; Oliveira et al., 2010) (Figure 1b).

The southern (Minas) segment of the MBO (Figure 2) comprises Paleoproterozoic magmatic arcs and the Archean Piedade block that were accreted to the northwest onto a large Archean TTG-greenstone belt crustal domain (Bruno et al., 2020; Cutts, et. al., 2020; Degler et. al., 2018; Albert et. al., 2016; Barbosa et. al., 2015; Teixeira et. al., 2015; Goulart et. al., 2013; Lana et. al., 2013; Heilbron et. al., 2010, Duarte et. al., 2004; Teixeira et. al., 2000, Teixeira et. al., 1996) (Figure 1b).

3. Geological Context of the Study Area

The study area comprises basement inliers exposed in the external thrust-fold belts of the adjacent Neoproterozoic orogenic systems, represented in the west by the southern part of the ESE-verging Brasilia Belt (630-605 Ma) and in the east by the younger NW-verging (620 to 530 Ma) Ribeira Belt (eg. Heilbron et. al., 2017b, Trouw et. al., 2013; Valeriano et. al., 2008).
Broadly from northwest to southeast, the MBO comprises the following tectonic domains (Figure 2b):

i) a foreland domain where the Archean crust is better preserved from the Paleoproterozoic orogeny. It encompasses Meso- to Neoarchean gneissic-granitic greenstone terranes comprising TTG rocks, migmatites, K-rich granitoids, metaultramafic and supracrustal units (Figure 2b) covered by rift to passive margin basin, represented by the (2.6 – 2.1 Ga) Minas Supergroup, and syn- to post-collisional sedimentary successions (e.g. Teixeira et. al., 2017a; Farina et. al., 2015; Romano et. al., 2013; Lana et. al., 2013, Machado et al., 1996; Teixeira & Figueiredo, 1991);

ii) the Siderian to the Rhyacian accreted terrane, referred to as Mineiro Belt, consists of magmatic arc associations of juvenile to crustal contaminated high Ba-Sr, TTGs, sanukitoids, hybrid granitoid rocks and associated supracrustals (Araujo et al., 2019a; Cardoso et al., 2019; Moreira et al., 2018; Teixeira et al., 2015; Ávila et al., 2014; Seixas et al., 2013; Noce et al., 2000.);

iii) the accreted Piedade Block is interpreted as an Archean microcontinent, juxtaposed against the Mantiqueira Complex by the Ponte Nova Shear Zone, a Paleoproterozoic suture zone (Bruno et al., 2020; Peres et al., 2004). The rocks of the Piedade Block are Neoarchean TTGs and sanukitoids and associated mafic bodies, whose isotopic signatures indicates reworking of a pre-existing continental crust, and minor Paleoproterozoic granitoid rocks with post-collisional signatures (Bruno et al., 2020; Silva et al., 2002);

iv) and the Mantiqueira and Juiz de Fora complexes, both accreted Paleoproterozoic magmatic arcs (Bruno et al., 2020; Cutts et. al., 2020; Araújo et al., 2019b; Kuribara et. al., 2019; Degler et. al., 2018; Heilbron et. al., 2017b; Heilbron et.
Geochemical and isotope data indicates juvenile to crustal contaminated granitoid rocks including TTGs, sanukitoids, post-collisional alkaline, within-plate tholeiitic basic rocks and peraluminous granitoid rocks with crystallization ages ranging from ca. 2.2 Ga to ca. 2.0 Ga, for the Mantiqueira Complex, and ca. 2.4 Ga to ca. 2.0 Ga, for the Juiz de Fora Complex (Figure 2b).

4. Field and Petrographic Features of the Sample Units

The studied basement units are reworked during the Neoproterozoic tectono-metamorphic event, which obliterated most of the primary textures. The metamorphic grade varies from green schist to high amphibolite facies with partial melting forming the autochthonous towards the allochthonous domains of the studied area.

4.1 Piedade Block

In the studied outcrops of the Piedade Block, the most common lithology is a biotite orthogneiss with a well-developed metamorphic foliation, locally crosscut by layers of metabasic rocks (Figure 3a, b). The felsic biotite orthogneiss layers are dominated by inequigranular granoblastic texture, with quartz, plagioclase and K-feldspar and rounded mass intergrowths of epidote and clinozoisite, which might represent late replacement of plagioclase during epidote facies low-grade metamorphism (Figure 4a). The metabasic rock usually comprises metric to decametric layers of massive to finely banded metagabbro metamorphosed at epidote-amphibolite facies, with relict igneous clinopyroxene surrounded by coronas of poikiloblastic intergrowth between hornblende and quartz (Figure 4 b, c). Another lithotype is a muscovite–biotite granitoid. Biotite is scarce and related to coarse-grained muscovite crystals, defining a (proto)-mylonitic foliation (Figure 4 d, e). A well-foliated (hornblende)-biotite orthogneiss is also present,
with K-feldspar porphyroclasts (Figure 3c). Hornblende is rare and larger than the matrix and presents fine-grained inclusions of opaque minerals. It is often green-brownish in the core and green in the rim (Figure 4f), with late muscovite and chlorite. A banded orthogneiss with migmatitic textures characterized by hornblende-bearing leucosomes surrounded by hornblende-rich melanosomes, biotite and plagioclase is another lithotype (Figure 3d).

4.2 Campo Belo Complex

The main lithotype found in the Campo Belo Complex, within the Parautochthonous Domain is a medium-grained biotite orthogneiss (Figure 3e, f) It is characterized by biotite orthogneiss with the foliation defined by the orientation of brownish biotite. The texture is inequigranular, with microcline porphyroblasts, plagioclase is scarce, and quartz can be found in flaser textures. Titanite is subhedral and parallel to the main foliation (Figure 5a). Epidote occurs as coronas around plagioclase (Figure 5b). The granodioritic biotite orthogneiss main mineralogy is constituted by granular feldspars and quartz in the matrix, with the foliation defined by the orientation of biotite, late muscovite, and accessory epidote with allanite inclusions (Figure 5c, d).

4.3 Mineiro Belt

The main lithology of the Mineiro Belt found in the study area is a banded granodioritic hornblende-biotite orthogneiss with migmatitic textures, exposed in the Parautochthonous Domain (Sample 51B) (Figure 3g) and a granodioritic hornblende-biotite orthogneiss (Figure 3h) found in the Autochthonous Domain (Sample 42). In the Autochthonous domain it is mainly a coarse-grained metagranodiorite metamorphosed at greenschist facies. The main mafic mineral is a greenish biotite with no orientation. There are intergrowths between chlorite and zoisite, with the latter usually in coronas with spike
acicular texture (Figure 6a). Rutile interpreted as an igneous relict and always has coronas of titanite that are interpreted as metamorphic (Figure 6b). The other variety found in the Paraautochthonous Domain of the Mineiro Belt shows a well-defined folded layering of felsic and mafic bands, metamorphosed at epidote-amphibolite facies, where felsic bands are dominated by granoblastic plagioclase and interstitial quartz, with minor K-feldspar, and mafic layers are dominated by nematoblastic hornblende (Figure 6c) and biotite oriented in the axial plane of the folds, defining a new foliation (Figure 6c). There are some mafic bands where subhedral epidote is developed in the hornblende rims (Figure 6c, d) and titanite is fine- to medium-grained with subhedral texture (Figure 6d). Rutile is rare and can be found included in titanite (Figure 6d).

5. Analytical Methods

Lithogeochemical, Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICPMS) U-Pb geochronology and thermal ionisation mass spectrometry (TIMS) radiogenic isotope data were obtained from a range of samples from the Piedade Block, the Campos Gerais Complex, and the Mineiro Belt. Major and trace elements composition analyses, including rare-earth elements (REE) were carried out by Activation Laboratories Ltd (Actlabs, Ancaster, Canada); the cathodoluminescence (CL) and Backscattered electron (BES) imagery were performed in a Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) at the School of the Environment, Geography and Geosciences University of Portsmouth, UK; U-Pb data were obtained in the geochronology laboratories of the University of Portsmouth (UK), and the Sm-Nd and Sr isotope data were acquired by the Laboratory of Geochronology and Radiogenic Isotopes (LAGIR) of the Rio de Janeiro State University (Brazil). The analytical procedures of the several techniques and laboratories involved in this work can be found in Supplementary Material A.
6. Results

6.1 Geochemistry

Fifteen samples were selected for major and trace element composition analyses (Supplementary Material B). Five compositional groups of acid and intermediate rocks (TTG, sanukitoid, hybrid, bitotite-two-mica and alkaline groups) and one compositional group of basic rocks (tholeiitic metabasic) have been discriminated based on their geochemical affinities.

6.1.1 TTG

Sample 50, from the Piedade Block, is characterized as silica-rich (71.8 wt.%), with high Na$_2$O contents (4.4 wt.%) and Na$_2$O/K$_2$O ratio (1.7), low Mg# (37) and is poor in ferromagnesian elements (Fe$_2$O$_3$ + MgO + MnO + TiO$_2$ = 3.7%). It plots on the calc-alkaline series on the AFM diagram (Figure 7a) and on the granite field on the SiO$_2$ vs. Na$_2$O+K$_2$O (TAS) diagram (Figure 7b). The sample is classified as slightly peraluminous (1.0 ≤ A/CNK ≤ 1.1), medium-K calc-alkaline rocks and magnesian (Figure 8a,b,c). It plots in the overlap field of different groups in the ternary diagram of Figure 8d. The TTG affinity, of this one sample, is corroborated by high concentrations of incompatible elements Ba (1365 ppm), Sr (459 ppm), low Y (6ppm), high Sr/Y ratio (76.5), and low concentrations (under detection limit ≤ 20 ppm) of compatible trace elements (Ni, Cr). In the REE chondrite-normalized diagram, it shows enrichment of light rare earth elements (LREE) compared to the heavy rare earth elements (HREE), with high (La/Yb)$_N$ (106) and positive Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu* = 1.26; Figure 9a). In the primitive mantle diagram (Figure 9b), the sample has peaks at Ba, Pb and Zr and troughs at P, Nb and Ti.

6.1.2 Sanukitoids
The second compositional group comprises samples 42, 51B, 52A and 52B from the Mineiro Belt. This group has SiO$_2$ contents varying from 60.32– 68.15 wt.% (Figure 7a) and plots in the intermediate and acid field of the TAS diagram and on the calc-alkaline series on the AFM diagram (Figure 7b). The samples have been classified as metaluminous (Figure 8a), medium-K calc-alkaline rocks in the SiO$_2$ vs. K$_2$O diagram (Figure 8b), magnesian (Figure 8c) and sanukitoids in the ternary diagram 2*A/_CNK vs. Na$_2$O/K$_2$O vs. 2*FMSB (Figure 8d). They are rich in ferromagnesian oxides (5 ≤ FeOt + MgO + MnO + TiO$_2$ ≤ 25 wt.%) and CaO. All have high concentrations of compatible trace elements Ni (30–110 ppm), Cr (50–130 ppm) and V (60–152 ppm), in incompatible elements (Ba = 430 – 1251 ppm and Sr = 236 - 1010 ppm), and high Mg# (47–58) for their silica content, corroborating their sanukitoid classification. The chondrite-normalized REE patterns show enrichment in LREE relative to HREE with moderate (La/Yb)$_N$ (8 - 23) and slightly negative to positive Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu* = 0.98 – 1.11) (Figure 9c). In the primitive mantle diagram, all the samples show enrichment in large ion lithophile elements, with peaks in Ba, K, Pb and Sr and troughs at P, Ti, and Nb (Figure 9d).

6.1.3 Biotite-/Two-Mica Granitoids

Samples 65, 68A, 69A and 70B, from the Piedade Block, comprise the third compositional group. They are characterized by high silica contents (SiO$_2$> 71.95 wt.%), low concentrations of ferromagnesian oxides (FeO$_t$+MgO+MnO+TiO$_2$< 4 wt.%) and CaO (< 3 wt.%), moderate Al$_2$O$_3$ (13.55 ≤ Al$_2$O$_3$ ≤15.05 wt.%) leading to high Al$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_{O_t}$/MgO. They plot on the calc-alkaline series (Figure 7a) and on the granite field on the TAS diagram (Figure 7b). They have peraluminous affinity (A/ CNK >1), medium to high-K calc-alkaline signatures and plot in the magnesian field of the SiO$_2$ vs. FeO$_t$/ (Fe$_{O_t}$+MgO) diagram (Figure 8a, b, c). In the ternary diagram of Laurent et al.,
(2014), the samples plot on the Biotite-Two-mica granites (Figure 8d. The samples have low high field strength elements (HFSE) and transition element contents (such as Zr and V) and Ba/Rb and Sr/Y ratios. REE chondrite-normalized diagram show moderate fractionation of REE patterns (10.11 ≤LaN/YbN ≤36.81) but significant negative Eu anomalies (EuN/Eu* = 0.25–0.71) (Figure 9e). The primitive mantle diagram (Figure 9f) shows high contents in highly incompatible elements such as Rb and Th.

6.1.4 Hybrid Granitoids

Samples 58B, from the Piedade Block, and 48A and 48B from the Campo Belo Complex, plot on the calc-alkaline series (Figure 7a) and have high SiO$_2$ (wt. 69.15-71.02%), therefore they plot on the granite field on the SiO$_2$ vs. Na$_2$O+K$_2$O diagram (Figure 7b). They have high Al$_2$O$_3$ (15.23-17.14%), moderate Na$_2$O (3.42-4.69%) and K$_2$O contents (2.52-3.63%; and therefore Na$_2$O/K$_2$O ratios, ranging from 0.94-1.86), and low MgO (0.09-0.79%), Cr and Ni (below detection limits) contents are also characteristic of the compositional group. They are peraluminous, medium to high-K calc-alkaline rocks and straddle the ferroan and magnesian fields of the SiO$_2$ vs. FeO$_t$/(FeO$_t$ + MgO) diagram (Figure 8a, b, c). In the petrogenetic indicator ternary diagram (Figure 8d), the samples plot in the hybrid granites field, reflecting a mixed parentage, likely a mix of felsic magmas and crustal melts (Laurent et al., 2014). The REE chondrite-normalized diagram (Figure 9g) shows enrichment of LREE relative to HREE, with moderate to high (La/Yb)$_N$, ranging from 15.5 to 209. The samples also have slightly negative to positive Eu anomalies (EuN/Eu* = 0.71–2.15), high Sr (400 to 508 ppm) and low Y (<1 to 6 ppm) contents, resulting in high Sr/Y ratios of 68–400 (obs.: the higher value of 400 is a minimum estimation, since Y concentration in sample 58B is below the detection limit). In mantle-normalized trace element diagrams (Figure 9h), they are characterized by
enrichment of LILE (large-ion lithophile elements), depletion of Nb and Ta, and positive 
Pb and negative Ti anomalies.

6.1.5 Alkaline Rock

Sample 58A from the Piedade Block is significantly different, as it displays high contents 
of K$_2$O (5.08 wt.%) and other incompatible elements (Ba 5729 ppm, Sr 1235 ppm) 
together with a low Na$_2$O/K$_2$O ratio (0.73). In the AFM diagram it plots on the calc-
alkaline series, however on the TAS diagram, the sample straddles the subalkaline and 
alkaline series (Figure 7 a, b). It has high contents of Zr, Nb, Ce and Y corroborating its 
alkaline signature. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns show high LREE and low HREE, 
leading to a highly fractionated REE pattern (La$_N$/Yb$_N$ < 232.97) and a small negative Eu 
anomaly (Eu$_N$/Eu* = 0.93) (Figure 9i). In the primitive mantle diagram, there is clear 
enrichment in LILEs (Ba, Rb and K) and a negative Ti anomaly (Figure 9j).

6.1.6 Tholeiitic Metabasic Rocks

The metabasic rocks of the study area, represented by samples 68B and 70A from the 
Piedade Block, are considered as one compositional group. All samples are classified as 
thaoleitic in an AFM diagram (Figure 7a) and, on the TAS diagram (Figure 7b), they plot 
on the subalkaline/tholeiitic series. Chondrite-normalized REE shows a flat pattern (1.32 
$\leq$ La$_N$/Yb$_N$ $\leq$ 1.39) and small negative to absent Eu anomalies (0.97 $\leq$Eu$_N$/Eu* $\leq$ 1), 
indicating an E-MORB (enriched mid-ocean ridge basalts) signature (Figure 10a). They 
have low TiO$_2$ (wt.% <2), moderate Mg# (51-52), high contents of CaO, Al$_2$O$_3$, and 
therefore high Al$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ ratios. In the N-MORB-normalized diagram (Figure 10b), 
 despite the metamorphism, the group shows signs of enrichment in incompatible elements 
such as K, B, La and Rb peaks at Ba, K, Pb and Nd. In the tectonic discriminant diagram
of Meschede (1986; Figure 10c) the samples plot in the N-type MORB field. In the Zr vs. Ti diagram of Pearce (1982; Figure 10d), the samples also plot in the MORB field.

6.2 U-Pb Geochronology

Following geochemical analysis, zircon LA-ICPMS U-Pb geochronology data were obtained from twelve samples which covered the range of different geochemical signatures across a wide geographical area, including the Piedade Block, the Mineiro Belt and the Campo Belo Complex (Figure 2). Tables with analytical results are provided in Supplementary Material C.

6.2.1 Piedade Block

The results of sample 50, a hornblende biotite gneiss from the TTG compositional group from the Piedade Block (Figure 2), are complex with thirty-six analyses of zircon cores and rims arranged into two discordia lines. (Figure 11a). The most common zircon morphology is prismatic (subhedral), with rounded grains, that display fine igneous oscillatory zoning in the cores surrounded by homogeneous bright and dark rims. The first discordia line defined by cores with fine igneous oscillatory zoning and dark metamorphic rims yielded an upper intercept of 2776 ± 28 Ma and a lower intercept of 1930 ± 37 Ma (Figure 11a). Six analysis of cores yielded a concordia age of 2691 ± 18 Ma, interpreted as the crystallization age (Figure 11b); the analysis of the dark rims in the spots#20 and #34, with 98% and 99% of concordance, yielded $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2021 ± 30 Ma and 2043 ± 29 Ma, respectively, and are interpreted as metamorphic ages. The second discordia line, defined by cores with fine igneous oscillatory zoning and bright metamorphic rims, yielded an upper intercept of 2705 ± 13 Ma and a lower intercept of 592 ± 44 Ma (Figure 11a). Three analyses of the bright metamorphic rims yielded a concordia age of 578± 3 Ma, interpreted as the Neoproterozoic metamorphic overprint (Figure 11c).
Zircon grains from Sample 65, a felsic orthogneiss of the biotite-two-mica compositional group collected close to the Ponte Nova Shear Zone (Figure 2), are prismatic to subhedral displaying inherited cores, fine igneous oscillatory zoning, and bright metamorphic rims. Eighteen analyses define a discordia with an upper intercept of $2011 \pm 30$ Ma and a lower intercept of $540 \pm 27$ Ma, interpreted as igneous crystallization and metamorphic overgrowth ages, respectively (Figure 11d). The analysis of nine inherited cores are shown as blue ellipses in the concordia diagram and have $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb ages from $2767 \pm 9$ Ma (spot #10) with Pb loss towards the Neoproterozoic metamorphic overprint. (Figure 11d).

Sample 68A is also a biotite gneiss of the biotite-two-mica compositional group of the Piedade block (Figure 2). The most common morphology of the zircon grains is prismatic subhedral with fine igneous oscillatory zoning, sometimes showing inherited cores (Figure 11e). The grains are mostly fractured in the BSE images and are translucent to opaque with light to deep yellow colors. The analysis of an inherited core yielded a $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb age of $2497 \pm 36$ Ma (spot #35). Thirteen analyses yield a discordia line with upper intercept at $2051 \pm 30$ Ma and lower intercept at $449 \pm 44$ Ma, the latter interpreted as Pb loss during Neoproterozoic metamorphism. Seven analyses yielded a concordia age of $2048 \pm 28$ Ma, interpreted as the igneous crystallization age (Figure 11e).

Sample 70A, a tholeiitic metabasic rock from the Piedade Block (Figure 2), displays translucent prismatic euhedral to subhedral, with a few rounded, zircon grains, varying from light to dark brown colours. Six discordant analyses of inherited cores show $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb age of $2718 \pm 14$ Ma with $93\%$ of concordance (spot #23) to $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb age of $2458 \pm 13$ Ma with $90\%$ of concordance (spot #6) (Figure 11f). Sixteen analysis of fine igneous oscillatory zoning of the prismatic grains yielded a concordia age of $2053 \pm 18$ Ma, interpreted as the crystallization age (Figure 11f).
Sample 70B, a biotite orthogneiss of the biotite-two-mica compositional group, collected in the same outcrop of Sample 70A (Figure 2), has the most common morphology of the zircon grains, namely prismatic subhedral showing cores and rims with igneous oscillatory zoning (Figure 11g). The grains are commonly fractured in the BSE images and mostly translucent with light to deep brown colors. Twenty core analyses scatter along the Concordia, varying from a $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2866 ± 35 Ma (spot #16; 98% of concordance) to $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2110 ± 44 Ma (spot #14; 91% of concordance) are interpreted as inheritance ages. Four analyses (spots #11, #15, #20, #26) in zircon grains with igneous oscillatory zoning yield a concordia age of 2059± 35 Ma, interpreted as the crystallization age (Figure 11g).

Zircon grains from sample 58A, a hornblende biotite gneiss from the alkaline compositional group from the Piedade Block (Figure 2), are rounded to subhedral with fine igneous oscillatory zoning. Thirty-three analyses of concordant to sub concordant grains vary from $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2130 ± 31 Ma (spot #17) with 96% of concordance to $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2021 ± 32 Ma (spot #25) with 91% of concordance. The average $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ mean age of 2058 ± 6 Ma is within error of the upper intercept age of 2078 ± 6 Ma (all zircons) and interpreted as the crystallization age (Figure 12a).

Zircon grains from sample 58B, a biotite-muscovite orthogneiss from the hybrid granitoid compositional group collected in the same outcrop of Sample 58A, are subhedral to rounded, translucent to opaque brownish colors with oscillatory zoning in the cores and bright metamorphic rims. Thirty-three analyses yield a discordia with an upper intercept of 2054 ± 24 Ma, interpreted as the crystallization age, and a lower intercept of 538 ± 47 Ma, interpreted as indicative of the Neoproterozoic metamorphic overprint (Figure 12b).

6.2.2 Mineiro Belt
Sample 42, a metagranodiorite of the sanukitoid compositional group collected in the Autochthonous Domain (Figure 2), yielded an upper intercept of $2139 \pm 40$ Ma with the most common morphology of the zircon grains being prismatic subhedral with fine igneous oscillatory zoning (Figure 13a). The grains are mostly translucent with light to deep yellow colors. The average mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages of the 32 analyses, with at least 95% of concordance, give an age of $2114 \pm 10$ Ma, interpreted as the crystallization age (Figure 13b).

Sample 51B was an amphibolitic gneiss of sanukitoid composition collected in the Parautochthonous Domain (Figure 2). Thirty analyses of subhedral to euhedral prismatic zircon grains, displaying fine igneous oscillatory zoned cores and bright rims yield an upper intercept of $2117 \pm 24$ Ma and a lower intercept of $551 \pm 36$ Ma, interpreted as crystallization and metamorphism ages, respectively (Figure 13c). Five discordant analyses of bright cores surrounded by igneous oscillatory zoning textures are interpreted as inheritance (Figure 13c).

Zircon grains from sample 52B, a hornblende biotite orthogneiss from the sanukitoid compositional group also collected in the basement thrust sheets of the Brasilia Belt (Figure 2), are mostly translucent, varying from light to dark yellow colours and euhedral to subhedral showing fine igneous oscillatory zoning in the cores and bright rims (Figure 13d). Sixty analyses yield a discordia line with an upper intercept of $2152 \pm 11$ Ma, interpreted as the crystallization age, and a lower intercept of $577 \pm 32$ Ma, interpreted as metamorphism related to Neoproterozoic orogeny (Figure 13d).

### 6.2.3 Campo Belo Complex

Zircon grains from sample 48A, a biotite gneiss from the hybrid granitoid compositional group of the Parautochthonous Domain (Figure 2), are prismatic, subhedral to euhedral,
and show dark cores surrounded by fine igneous oscillatory zoning textures and small bright rims (Figure 14b). The analyses of the cores are interpreted as inheritance, with $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages from $3007 \pm 90$ Ma (spot #16; with 98% of concordance) to an age of $2621 \pm 93$ Ma (spot #4; with 88% of concordance). The analyses of the igneous oscillatory zoned areas and the bright rims yield a discordia line with an upper intercept of $2165 \pm 39$ Ma and a lower intercept of $585 \pm 67$ Ma, interpreted as corresponding to the ages of crystallization Neoproterozoic metamorphism, respectively (Figure 14b). Five analyses of concordant to sub concordant grains yielded a concordia age of $2090 \pm 24$ Ma, interpreted as a better constraint on the crystallization age of the rock (Figure 14b).

6.3 Isotope data

Eleven samples were selected based on their geochemical affinities and different tectonostratigraphic terranes. Samples from the Piedade Block, Mineiro Belt and Campo Belo Complex show a wide variety of $\varepsilon\text{Nd}(t)$ values, varying between juvenile (+1.3) to strongly evolved (-16), at their respective crystallization ages (Supplementary Material D). For sample 69, the crystallization age used for $\varepsilon\text{Nd}(t)$ calculations was that obtained
for other samples from the same lithochemical group and tectonostratigraphic terrane.

In the $\epsilon$Nd(t) vs crystallization age diagram (Figure 15), the different isotopic fields of
the Archean and Paleoproterozoic terranes previously published for the studied area are
shown in order to compare with the results obtained in this study.

The diversity of Initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd ratios, vary widely, from 0.700 to 0.788
and 0.5091 to 0.5107, and relate to the respective lithochemical group of the studied
samples, despite their crystallization age. Lower initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr correlated with the
chondritic $\epsilon$Nd(t), reproduces the mantle isotopic reservoir that originated the tholeiitic
metabasic and sanukitoid groups, while the higher values of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios are also
correlated with negative $\epsilon$Nd(t) values and likely represent reworking of pre-existing
continental crust, represented by the biotite- two-mica and hybrid compositional group.

7. Discussions

7.1 Petrogenetic Implications

Combining isotopic information and whole-rock geochemistry of igneous rocks is a
powerful tool for understanding igneous petrogenesis and related tectonic processes
(Moyen, 2019; Laurent et. al., 2011), providing different petrogenetic scenarios for the
studied lithochemical groups. The initial ($t = U$-Pb crystallization age) $\epsilon$Nd vs.
$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr diagram of Figure 16 highlights the role of continental crust contamination in
the generation of magmatic suites. In this context, different rock assemblages show varied
degrees of mantle participation in their origins, from the least contaminated, mantle-
derived TTG (Sample 50 of the Piedade Block) and sanukitoid (Samples 42, 51B and 52B
of the Mineiro Belt) suites, to the more contaminated magmatic suites of hybrid, alkaline
and biotite-bearing or two-mica granitoid rocks. Younger tholeiitic basic magmatism,
represented by sample 70A, shows a subcontinental lithospheric mantle source (Figure
16).
The combination of initial (t = crystallization ages) εNd vs whole-rock element compositions confirm the varied degrees of mantle interaction of the aforementioned lithogeochemical groups (Figure 17 a, b). This is exemplified in the binary plot of #mg vs. initial εNd and of #mg vs. initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr. The highest εNd is correlated with the highest #mg, showing the mantellic signatures of the aforementioned rocks and the highest $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr with the lowest #mg, indicating a more continental signatures for the samples.

The sanukitoid magmas were likely sourced from a fluid metasomatized mantle wedge, reinforcing recent studies about the repetition in time and space of this type of magmatism in the geological record, implying subduction of sediment followed by its interaction with the mantle wedge (Bruno et al., 2020; Laurent et. al., 2019; Moreira et. al., 2018, Laurent et. al., 2014). Remarkably, the samples show mantle affinity and isotopic signatures close to chondritic compositions (Figure 15) and in the Sr + Ba (ppm) vs. (FeOt+MgO wt.%) diagram (Figure 17c) the source of the sanukitoid group is characterized as the melting of enriched mantle. Among the Palaeoproterozoic sanukitoids, only one sample (51B) has a negative εNd value below -5, the other two (Sample 42, 52B) have a less evolved Nd signature. The latter probably reflects the involvement of coeval sediments (~2.1 Ga) acting as metasomatic agents in the mantle wedge. Furthermore, the first could be accounted for by an Archaean sedimentary contribution due to overlap with crustal arrays in the εNd space (Figure 16) and the presence of inherited zircon grains (Figure 13c). The Archean TTG (sample 50) shares the melting of enriched mantle (Figure 17c) of the sanukitoid suite and show elevated MgO, sharing the characteristics of the Low Al and High-HREE, what favors a geodynamic setting with shallow low-pressure source possibly with a mantle involvement (Halla et. al., 2009).
Samples of this study classified as two-mica granitoids have overall the lowest $\varepsilon$Nd values and the highest $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (initial). Because of the peraluminous geochemical affinity of these samples and low FeOt+MgO (wt.%), it is assumed that the samples generated by partial melting of crustal lithologies, therefore implying the presence of a significant sedimentary contribution to the protolith (Figure 17 c, d) (e.g. Bucholz et al., 2018). This interpretation is supported by the presence of inherited grains with a range of Paleoproterozoic and Archaean ages (Figure 11 d, e, g), likely sourced during partial melt of the mid-crust.

A distinct lithogeochemical group found in the study area is represented by the hybrid granitoids (Samples 48A and 48B of the Campo Belo Complex and Sample 58B of the Piedade Block) classified after the ternary diagram of Laurent et. al. (2014). They are classified as late- to – post-collisional and despite the relatively high K2O content, the chemical signatures of these rocks resembles those of adakitic rocks (high Na2O, Al2O3, Sr, Eu contents, low Yb and Y contents, enrichment in LREEs and LILEs and depletion in HREE, high Sr/Y and Na2O/K2O and La/Yb(N) ratios (Drummond and Defant, 1990, Martin et al., 2005). It is highlighted that their crystallization ages are different, ca. 2.10 Ga for samples 48A and 48B of the Campo Belo Complex and ca. 2.05 Ga of the Piedade Block, representing the same geological process at different times, since they are related to different collisional events.

Several models have been proposed for the petrogenesis of adakitic granitoids, including: (1) assimilation–fractional crystallization from a parental basaltic magma (Rooney et al., 2011; Castillo et al., 1999), (2) partial melting of a young subducted oceanic slab (Castillo, 2012; Martin et al., 2005), (3) partial melting of delaminated lower continental crust with mantle interaction (Wang et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2006; Gao et al., 2004; Kay and Kay, 2002; Xu et al., 2002), and (4) partial melting of thickened mafic lower crust...
(Huang et al., 2009; Gao et al., 2004; Chung et al., 2003; Xiong et al., 2003; Kay and Kay, 2002; Atherton and Petford, 1993). The following observations with respect to the studied rocks seem pertinent. Although fractionation of garnet, amphibole and plagioclase from a basaltic magma could produce residual melts with adakitic compositions, our samples have narrow ranges of MgO and SiO\textsubscript{2}. Thus, some form of direct generation by crustal partial melting is more likely the dominant process involved in the petrogenesis of these rocks. Low MgO, Mg#, Cr and Ni contents contrast with those expected for adakites derived from slab-derived magmas or delaminated lower continental crust with mantle interaction (Castillo, 2012; Martin et al., 2005). On the other hand, chemical and petrographic characteristics are indicative of high-pressure melting, with garnet and no plagioclase in the residue (as positive Sr, Eu anomalies, high Sr/Y ratio, low Y and Yb contents. Thus, the rocks presented here have affinities with adakites derived from thickened lower crust (Liu et. al., 2020).

The crystallization of the post-collisional granitoids can indicate a delamination of the lower crust and lithospheric mantle providing an asthenosphere inflow in a favorable configuration for melting, responsible for the bi-modal magmatism found in the Paleoproterozoic samples of Piedade Block (eg. Laurent et. al., 2019).

### 7.2 Southern São Francisco Paleocontinent Tectonic Model

Within the units of the São Francisco Paleocontinent, the ca. 2.4 to 2.07 Paleoproterozoic granitoid rocks with high Ba-Sr, sanukitoid and TTG signatures suggest a complex and diachronous subduction history (Bruno et. al., 2020; Cutts, et. al., 2020; Araújo et. al., 2019b; Moreira, et. al., 2018; Degler et. al., 2018; Heilbron et. al., 2017b; Teixeira et. al., 2015, Seixas et. al., 2013). Late- to post-collisional granitoid rocks between ca. 2.1 and 2.06 Ga and an important metamorphic record at ca. 2.05 to 2.04 Ga, with the development of associated foreland basins marked the final transition to extensional
collapse (Cutts, et. al., 2019; Alkmim & Teixeira, 2017; Aguilar Gil et. al., 2015; Vlach et. al., 2003; Brueckner et. al., 2000; Machado et. al., 1992) (Figure 18).

A complete orogenic cycle from subduction to collisional stages, followed by late orogenic lithospheric collapse with associated magmatism is recognized within the different tectono-stratigraphic terranes of the Minas segment of the MBO (Figure 18). Its Siderian-Rhyacian evolution, which led to the amalgamation of the São Francisco-Congo Paleocontinent, is characterised by the diachronous accretion of Archean blocks with cordilleran (e.g. Mantiqueira Complex) and intra-oceanic juvenile accretionary arcs (e.g. Mineiro Belt and Juiz de Fora Complex). Based on the geochronological, isotopic and lithogeochemical constraints we propose a tectonic model for the Minas-Bahia orogen. Available data for the Archean complexes of the southern São Francisco Paleocontinent show that Meso- and Neoarchean polycyclic terranes are mainly composed of TTG, migmatites and potassic granitoids suites (e.g. Alkmim & Teixeira, 2017). Based on description by Bruno et. al., (2020) and on the results of this study (Sample 50), the Neoarchean Piedade Block is composed of TTGs and sanukitoids, with subordinated within-plate alkaline basalts. The existence of an Archean microcontinent, represented by the Mantiqueira Complex, is indicated by the presence of Archean inherited zircon grains in Paleoproterozoic arc-related granitoid rocks (eg. Heilbron et. al., 2010) and by the Nd and Sr isotope signatures indicative of a mixed crust-mantle origin (Bruno et. al., 2020).

From 2.4 to 2.1 Ga (Figure 19a), the crystallization of juvenile magmatic arc rocks of in the Mineiro Belt and of the granitoid rocks of the Juiz de Fora Complex, are interpreted to represent the early, accretionary stages of the southern portion of the MBO (Moreira et. al., 2018, Teixeira et. al., 2017, Barbosa et. al., 2015; Ávila et. al., 2014; Ávila et. al., 2010, Heilbron et. al., 2010, Teixeira & Figueiredo, 1991).
As the magmatic arc system was constructed, an east-directed subduction system of ca. 2.2 – 2.1 Ga is interpreted as responsible for the generation of rocks with mixed mantle-crust isotopic signature in the Juiz de Fora Complex, and of coeval TTGs and sanukitoid suites in the Mineiro Belt (Samples 42, 51B and 52B) and Mantiqueira Complex (e.g. Bruno et al., 2020; Degler et al., 2018; Heilbron et al., 2017b; Ávila et al., 2014; Duarte et al., 2004) (Figure 19a). For the Mineiro Belt, our interpretation follows the model recently proposed by Araujo et al. (2019a) in which a double-sided subduction was responsible for the origin of magmatic arc plutonic rocks and supracrustal units. For the Juiz de Fora magmatic arc, eastward subduction is necessary to explain the geochemical zoning described by Heilbron et al (2010). Double-sided subduction for the Mineiro Belt also seems necessary to explain the ca. 2.1-2.05 Ga late-to post-collisional granitoids observed in the Piedade block and to account for the dome-and-keel structure that affected the foreland of the São Francisco paleocontinent (eg. Cutts et al., 2018).

This stage in geologic record (ca. 2.1 – 1.9 Ga) is marked by the generation of high Ba-Sr granitoid rocks in the Mineiro Belt, Mantiqueira and the Juiz de Fora Complexes (Figure 19b) (Bruno et al., 2020, Araújo et al., 2019b; Moreira et al., 2018; Heilbron et al., 2010, Duarte et al., 2004). The ca. 2.1 Ga accretion of these terranes against the Archean Campo Belo complex took place along a suture zone referred as the Jeceaba-Bom Sucesso lineament (Moreira et al., 2018, Alkmim & Teixeira, 2017, Ávila et al., 2010).

The ensuing late- to post-collisional magmatism generated ca. 2.05 Ga high-K granitoid rocks and adakites in the Campo Belo complex (samples 48A and 48B). An important tectono-metamorphic episode has been recognized at ca. 2.05 – 2.04 Ga by Heilbron et al. (2010) and a granulite facies metamorphic event at ca. 2.05 Ga by Bruno et al. (2020) at the Ponte Nova suture zone. The latter is thought to correspond to the collision
between the Mantiqueira Complex and the Piedade Block, which took place coeval to the development of the Abre Campo suture zone between the Mantiqueira and Juiz de Fora Complexes (Degler et. al., 2018; Heilbron et. al., 2010). This time interval is also marked by the late- to post-collisional magmatism in the Piedade Block with the crystallization of tholeiitic metabasic (Sample 70A) and alkaline rocks (Sample 58A) (Figure 19d), which are diagnostic of extensional intraplate settings, with associated adakites (sample 58B) and biotite-bearing or two-mica granites (Samples 65, 68A, 70B) related to coeval melting of continental crust. Coeval 2.05 Ga post-collisional processes in the foreland zone of this orogenic system are represented by ‘dome-and-keel’ tectonics in and by the sedimentation of quartzitic sediments of the Itacolomi Group overlying the inverted Minas Supergroup passive margin successions (Cutts et. al., 2018; Machado, et. al., 1996).

The ca. 1.9 Ga orogenic collapse stage in the southern São Francisco Paleocontinent is marked by the presence of within-plate alkaline basalts with OIB-like signatures and of alkaline rocks (Figure 19b) (Bruno et. al., 2020, Cutts, et. al., 2019, Heilbron et. al., 2010).

7.3 Regional Correlations and Implications for the Assembly of the Columbia Supercontinent

The determination of precise ages and isotopic signatures of Archean and Paleoproterozoic tectono-stratigraphic terranes in different cratons is an important tool in understanding the processes that led to the formation of the first supercontinents (Terentiev & Santosh, 2020; D’Agrèlla-Filho et. al., 2020; Iaccheri & Bargas, 2020; Wu et. al., 2020; D’Agrèlla-Filho & Cordani, 2017; Meert & Santosh, 2017; Xu et. al., 2014; Condie, 2013; Mitchell et. al., 2012/ Rogers & Santosh 2009). Additional to the contribution made by paleomagnetism, correlations between the periods of major juvenile
crustal growth and recycling play an important role in such reconstructions (e.g. Cawood, et. al., 2016; Condie, 2013; Santosh, 2009). Several authors (Evans & Mitchell, 2011; Yakubchuk, 2010; Zhao et. al., 2004) postulate the assembly of the Columbia supercontinent during the ca. 1.9 Ga global peak in accretionary orogenesis but others suggest a later assembly at ca. 1.6 Ga (e.g. Terentiev & Santosh, 2020; Caxito et. al., 2020b; Meert & Santosh, 2017; Pisarevsky et. al., 2014; Rogers & Santosh, 2009).

Within the units of the São Francisco Paleocontinent, the ca. 2.4 to 2.07 Paleoproterozoic granitoid rocks with high Ba-Sr, sanukitoid and TTG signatures suggest a complex and diachronous subduction history (Bruno et. al., 2020; Cutts, et. al., 2020; Araújo et. al., 2019b; Moreira, et. al., 2018; Degler et. al., 2018; Heilbron et. al., 2017b; Teixeira et. al., 2015, Seixas et. al., 2013). Late- to post-collisional granitoid rocks between ca. 2.1 and 2.06 Ga and an important metamorphic record at ca. 2.05 to 2.04 Ga, with the development of associated foreland basins marked the final transition to extensional collapse (Cutts, et. al., 2019; Alkmim & Teixeira, 2017; Aguilar Gil et. al., 2015; Vlach et. al., 2003; Brueckner et. al., 2000; Machado et. al., 1992).

The southern Archean complexes of the São Francisco Paleocontinent (e.g. Bonfim, Belo Horizonte, Santa Bárbara), and the reworked Campo Belo Complex may represent the southern continuation of the Archean Gavião Block (Figure 20a). Minor Archean continental blocks such as the Piedade, Guanhães, Guararema, Jequié and Serrinha were welded together as a result of Paleoproterozoic accretionary tectonics and were intruded by large volumes of plutonic rocks generated by crustal recycling and addition of juvenile arcs. Parts of the Minas-Bahia Orogen display more accretionary characteristics, such as the Mineiro Belt, the Juiz de Fora, and the Buerarema Complex. Others, such as the Itabuna-Salvador-Curaçá Belt and the Mantiqueira Complex, show both juvenile additions within Cordilleran tectonic settings (Figure 20b).
Our proposed tectonic scenario involves the coeval development of passive margins around the Archean blocks and outboard juvenile arcs at ca. 2.6 Ga, followed by a period of arc-related magmatism (with both Cordilleran and intra-oceanic signatures), building the Paleoproterozoic orogenic belt at ca. 2.4 to 2.06 Ga (Figure 20). All the various crustal fragments were diachronously amalgamated between 2.1 and 2.04 Ga, with the development of foreland basins and metamorphic core complexes. The orogenic cycle was terminated by bimodal magmatism and extensional deformation between 2.02 to 1.9 Ga, altogether interpreted as related to slab detachment and orogenic collapse (Bruno et al., 2020; Cutts et al., 2020; Araújo et al., 2019b; Cutts et al., 2019; Moreira et al., 2018; Degler et al., 2018; Alkmim & Teixeira, 2017; Heilbron et al., 2017b; Teixeira et al., 2017a; Teixeira et al., 2015, Aguilar Gil et al., 2015; Seixas et al., 2013; Vlach et al., 2003; Brueckner et al., 2000; Machado et al., 1992).

The assembly of the São Francisco Paleocontinent by the amalgamation of Archean blocks and Rhyacian orogens before ca. 2.0 Ga is similar in its timing and mechanism to the formation of the West Africa Block/Central African Block/Transamazonian (Terentiev & Santosh, 2020; Caxito et al., 2020a; D’Agrella-Filho et al., 2017; Cordani et al., 2013), both prior to the main orogenic period that consolidated the Columbia supercontinent (Figure 21a). Similar accretionary processes had terminated by ca. 1.95 Ga in the Khondalite Belt of North China (Li et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2012; Santosh, 2010). However, based on paleomagnetic poles in mafic dykes (ca. 1.7 Ga), that crop out in most of the building blocks of Columbia, and the geometry of Paleoproterozoic belts, D’Agrella-Filho et al. (2020) proposed that the Rio de la Plata, São Francisco-Congo, North China, and India blocks were all connected as part of Columbia by this time (Figure 21b). Zhao et al. (2004) suggests the proximity of the São-Francisco Congo and the North
China cratons due to Statherian dyke swarms and related volcanic rocks, supported by paleomagnetic poles (Xu et al., 2020; Salminen et al., 2016).

8. Concluding Remarks

The reworked basement units of the external segments of the Neoproterozoic Brasília and Ribeira Orogenic Belts, SE Brazil, are considered to be part of the Minas-Bahia Orogenic Belt, despite the Brasiliano metamorphic overprint (ca. 600-560 Ma). Integrating new data with previous contributions a protracted orogenic cycle, from subduction to collisional stages and a subsequent orogenic collapse with associated magmatism. Cordilleran magmatic arcs that developed along the margins of Archean microcontinents (e.g. Mantiqueira Complex) and intra-oceanic juvenile accretionary arcs (e.g. Mineiro Belt and Juiz de Fora Complex) were diachronously amalgamated with Archean nuclei to form the São Francisco-Congo Paleocontinent, between 2.1 to 2.05 Ga. The collisional episodes were followed by tectonic collapse, associated with bimodal magmatism between 2.05 and 1.98 Ma. The presence of Archean and Paleoproterozoic TTG, sanukitoids and adakitic rocks point to the importance of subduction processes as driving forces building the São Francisco-Congo Paleocontinent.

Finally, our contribution to unravelling the Minas-Bahia Orogenic Belt, in the context of supercontinent cycles, suggests that a large continental landmass was amalgamated during the Rhyacian (ca. 2.1-2.05 Ga). Together with Baltica, Amazonia and West Africa, the São-Francisco Congo is surrounded by a broad Paleoproterozoic (pre-Columbia) oceanic realm. After the orogeny, Orosirian bimodal magmatic successions point to an extensional tectonic setting, hampering any direct connections with Columbia before ca. 1.9 Ga.
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**Figures Caption**

**Figure 1**: Tectonic framework of the São Francisco Craton basement. a) South American Platform and Gondwana configuration adapted from D’Agrella-Filho & Cordani (2017). b) Archean blocks and Paleoproterozoic magmatic arcs of the São Francisco Paleocotint. Modified from Bruno et al., 2020; Bersan et al., 2020; Degler et al., 2018; Alkmin & Teixeira, 2017; Barbosa & Barbosa, 2017.

**Figure 2**: a) Neoproterozoic compartmentation of the basement units associated to the São Francisco Craton; b) Geological map and compiled U-Pb data of the southern São Francisco Paleocontinent reworked during the Brasiliano/Pan-African orogeny (Modified from Bruno et al., 2020; Alkmin & Teixeira 2017; Heilbron et al., 2017; Peres et al., 2004). Compiled U-Pb data from: Bruno et al., 2020; Cardoso et al., 2019; Pinheiro et al., 2019; Moreira et al., 2018; Teixeira et al., 2015; Seixas et al., 2013; Seixas et al., 2012; Corrêa Neto et al., 2012; Heilbron et al., 2010; Ávila et al., 2014; Noce et al., 2007.; Duarte et al., 2004; Quéméneur et al., 2003; Silva et al., 2002).

**Figure 3**: Field photographs of representative lithologies and outcrops of the Piedade Block, Campos Gerais Complex and Mineiro Belt. a) Metric layer of amphibolite (68B) showing sharp contact with the country rock (68A - biotite gneiss) from the Piedade Block; b) Amphibolite (70A) from the Piedade Block; c) Megacrystic Biotite-Muscovite Gneiss (58A) from the Piedade Block; d) Hornblende-Biotite Gneiss (50) from the Piedade Block e) Biotite orthogneiss (48A) from the Campo Belo Complex; f) Biotite orthogneiss (48B) from the Campo Belo Complex; g) Granodioritic Hornblende-Biotite orthogneiss (51B) from the Mineiro Belt; i) Dioritic Hornblende-Biotite orthogneiss (42) from the Mineiro Belt.

**Figure 4**: Photomicrographs from thin sections of the studied orthogneisses and metabasic rocks of the Piedade Block. a) Sample 70B; b) Sample 70A; c) Sample 70A under crossed nicols; d) Sample 58B; e) Sample 58A; f) Sample 58A.

**Figure 5**: Photomicrographs from thin sections of the studied orthogneisses and metabasic rocks of the Campo Belo Complex. a) Sample 48A; b) Sample 48A; c) Sample 48B; d) Sample 48B.

**Figure 6**: Photomicrographs from thin sections of the studied orthogneisses of the Mineiro Belt. a) Sample 42; b) Sample 42; c) Sample 51B; d) Sample 51B.

**Figure 7**: a) AFM diagram of Irvine and Baragar (1971) showing the intermediate and acid samples plotting in the calc-alkaline series and the basic rocks in the tholeiite series; b) TAS classificatory diagram (SiO$_2$ vs. Na$_2$O+K$_2$O) of Cox (1979).

**Figure 8**: a) A/NK vs. A/CNK diagram (after Shand, 1943); b) SiO$_2$ vs. K$_2$O diagram (Peccerillo & Taylor, 1976); c) FeOt / (FeOt + MgO) vs. SiO$_2$ (Frost et al., 2001); d) Ternary classification diagram from Laurent et al. (2014). Vertices are: 2 × A/CNK (molar Al$_2$O$_3$/(CaO + K$_2$O + Na$_2$O) ratio); Na$_2$O/K$_2$O and 2 × (FeOt + MgO) × (Sr + Ba) wt.% (=FMSB).

**Figure 9**: Left column (a) TTG Sample; c) Sanukitoid Group; e) Biotite- Two-Mica Group; g) Hybrid Granitoid Group, i) Alkaline Sample): Average chondrite-normalized REE patterns normalized after values from Boynton (1984); Right column (b) TTG Sample; d) Sanukitoid Group; f) Biotite- Two-Mica Group; h) Hybrid Granitoid Group, j) Alkaline Sample): Mantle-normalized multielement plots (McDonough and Sun, 1995).
Figure 10: a) Average chondrite-normalized REE patterns normalized after values from Boynton (1984); b) NMORB-normalized multielement plot (Sun & McDonough, 1989); c) Ternary Diagram Zr/4 vs. 2Nb vs. Y of Meschede (1986); d) Tectonic discriminant diagram Zr vs. Ti (Pearce, 1982).

Figure 11: Concordia diagrams presenting zircon U-Pb results and Cathodoluminescence (CL) and Backscattered electrons (BSE) images of representative zircon grains for the rocks of the Piedade Block. Circles on the zircon grains indicate spot locations for U-Pb results. Paleoproterozoic and Archean data are shown in $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ and Neoproterozoic data in $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$. Zircon codes refer to analytical ID in U-Pb data table in Supplementary Material C. Blue circles are indicative of inherited zircon grains. a) Two Discordia lines for Sample 50. Inset of Paleoproterozoic sub concordant rims; b) Concordia age for the Neoproterozoic metamorphic overprint of Sample 50; c) Concordia age for the Archean crystallization age of Sample 50; d) Sample 65; e) Sample 68A, inset of Concordia age for crystallization; f) Sample 70A, inset of Concordia age for crystallization; g) Sample 70B, inset of Concordia age for crystallization.

Figure 12: Concordia diagrams presenting zircon U-Pb results and Cathodoluminescence (CL) and Backscattered electrons (BSE) images of representative zircon grains for the rocks of the Piedade Block. Circles on the zircon grains indicate spot locations for U-Pb results. Paleoproterozoic and Archean data are shown in $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ and Neoproterozoic data in $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$. Zircon codes refer to analytical ID in U-Pb data table in Supplementary Material C. a) Sample 58A, inset of Paleoproterozoic Average Mean of the analytical results; b) Sample 58B.

Figure 13: Concordia diagrams presenting zircon U-Pb results and Cathodoluminescence (CL) and Backscattered electrons (BSE) images of representative zircon grains for the rocks of the Mineiro Belt. Circles on the zircon grains indicate spot locations for U-Pb results. Paleoproterozoic and Archean data are shown in $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ and Neoproterozoic data in $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$. Zircon codes refer to analytical ID in U-Pb data table in Supplementary Material C. Blue circles are indicative of inherited zircon grains. a) Sample 42; b) Average Mean of Sample 42 results; c) Sample 51B; d) Sample 52B.

Figure 14: Concordia diagrams presenting zircon U-Pb results and Cathodoluminescence (CL) and Backscattered electrons (BSE) images of representative zircon grains for the rocks of the Campo Belo Complex. Circles on the zircon grains indicate spot locations for U-Pb results. Paleoproterozoic and Archean data are shown in $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ and Neoproterozoic data in $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$. Zircon codes refer to analytical ID in U-Pb data table in Supplementary Material C. Blue circles are indicative of inherited zircon grains. a) Sample 48A, inset for concordia age; b) Sample 48B.

Figure 15: Nd evolution vs time (crystallization ages) diagram. DM model from De Paolo, 1981. Different symbols for tectono-stratigraphic terranes and different colors for the lithogeochemical groups.

Figure 16: Isotopic diagram for the Campo Belo Complex, Mineiro Belt and Piedade Block samples. $\varepsilon\text{Nd}$ vs initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ diagram (Sr and Nd isotopic systematics of the crust and mantle, horizontal grey band is the estimated $\varepsilon\text{Nd}$ of the bulk silicate of Caro and Bourdon (2010); vertical grey band between dashed lines in their estimated bulk silicate Earth $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$)

Figure 17: Binary plots of isotopic signatures. #mg vs. a) $\varepsilon\text{Nd}$ (crystallization ages)/ b) $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (initial); c) Plot of incompatible element (Sr+Ba ppm) vs. FeOt + MgO (wt.)
(Laurent et al., 2014); d) $Na_2O + K_2O + FeOt + MgO + TiO_2 - (Na_2O + K_2O) / (FeOt + MgO + TiO_2)$ diagram (from PatiñoDouce, 1999).

**Figure 18**: Table of synthesized results of this study (*) within the Minas segment of the São Francisco Paleocontinent (Bruno et al., 2020; Cutts, et al., 2020; Araújo et al., 2019b; Cutts et al., 2019; Moreira, et al., 2018; Degler et al., 2018; Alkmim & Teixeira, et al., 2017; eg. Heilbron et al., 2017b, eg. Teixeira et al., 2017a, eg. Albert et al., 2016; Teixeira et al., 2015, Aguilar Gil et al., 2015; Farina et al., 2015; Seixas et al., 2013; Noce et al., 2007; Vlach et al., 2003; Silva et al., 2002; Brueckner et al., 2000; Machado et al., 1992).

**Figure 19**: Integrated tectonic evolution model for the Minas segment of the Paleoproterozoic Orogeny, southeast Brazil, as envisaged for the period between ca. 2.4 and 1.9 Ga (Modified after Bruno et al., 2020; Cutts et al., 2020; Ávila et al., 2014; Heilbron et al., 2010; Noce et al., 2007).

**Figure 20**: a) Paleotectonic framework of the Sao Francisco Paleocontinent at ca. 2.2 Ga, distinguished by individual Archean blocks and Paleoproterozoic accretionary orogenic belt and envisaged tectonic model for the Bahia segment (A–A’) and the Minas segment (B–B’) of the Minas Bahia Orogenic Belt (modified from Zincone et al., 2020; Teixeira et al., 2017a; Alkmim & Noce, 2006). UAC – Undivided Archean Complexes (e.g. Campo Belo, Belo Horizonte, Bonfim, Santa Bárbara); b) εNd vs. εSr diagram with distinct fields characterized by data from the Itabuna-Salvador-Curacá Belt, Gavião, Serrinha and Jequité block (modified after Barbosa and Barbosa, 2017), Mantiqueira Complex (Bruno et al., 2020); Piedade Block (Bruno et al., 2020 and from this study); Mineiro Belt (Cardoso et al., 2019; Teixeira et al., 2015 and this study) and Juiz de Fora Complex (Araújo et al., 2019b).

**Figure 21**: a) Paleogeographic reconstruction at ca. 2.0 Ga (D’Agrella-Filho & Cordani, 2017). Su – Superior Craton; S – Slave Craton; R – Rae cratonic fragment; H – Hearne cratonic fragment. KAR – Karelia Craton; K – Kola Craton; Pam – Proto-Amazonia; WA - West Africa; V-U – Volgo Uralia; AS – Sarmatia; CSF – Congo/São Francisco; KAL – Kalahari; RP – Rio de La Plata; BTS – Borborema Trans-Sahara. Dashed lines indicate later borders of Laurentia, Baltica and Amazonian craton; b) Paleogeographic reconstruction of Columbia Supercontinent at ca. 1.78 Ga (D’Agrella-Filho et al., 2020). AC- Amazonia Craton; WA – West African Craton; BA – Baltica Craton; LA – Laurentia Craton; SIB – Siberia Craton; IN – India Craton; NC – North China Craton; LP – Rio de La Plata Craton; SF – São Francisco Craton; C – Congo Craton; NAU – North Australia Craton; EA – East Antarctica; SAU – South Australia Craton; WAU – Western Australia Craton.
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São Francisco Paleocontinent
Minas Segment of the Minas-Bahia Orogenic System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archean Complexes (eg. Belo Horizonte, Bonfin, Campo Belo)</th>
<th>Mineiro Belt</th>
<th>Piatada Block</th>
<th>Mantiqueira Complex</th>
<th>Juiz de Fora Complex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ca. 2.03 - 1.9 Ga Transition to extensional setting (Arunacan Orogenic Collapse)</td>
<td>ca. 2.05 High-K Granitoids</td>
<td>2.05 Ga Alkaline Rocks</td>
<td>2.01 Ga Alkaline Rocks</td>
<td>1.9 Ga Alkaline Rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 2.1 - 2.05 Ga Collision Episodes (Rhyacian Building of the São Francisco Paleocotinent)</td>
<td>ca. 2.4 - 2.05 Ga Development of diatremic magmati</td>
<td>ca. 2.1 Sanukitoid (both juvenile and crustal contaminated magmatism)</td>
<td>2.05 Ga Hybrid Block, Two-Mica</td>
<td>2.01 Ga (N-MORB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 2.4 - 2.0 Ga development of diatremic magmatism</td>
<td>ca. 2.4 - 2.3 TTG (juvenile magmatism)</td>
<td>no evidence of magmatic arc related granitoid rocks during the Paleoproterozoic</td>
<td>2.01 Ga Alkaline Rocks</td>
<td>2.01 Ga Alkaline Rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 2.9 - 2.5 Ga Building of Archean Blocks</td>
<td>ca. 2.9 - 2.7 Ga TTG and High K Granitoids</td>
<td>ca. 2.2 - 2.1 Ga TTG - Sanukitoid - High Ba- Sr Granitoids (both juvenile and crustal contaminated magmatism)</td>
<td>ca. 2.5 Ga Inherited Zircon Grains</td>
<td>ca. 2.4 - 2.1 Ga magmatic arc related granitoid rocks (both juvenile and crustal contaminated magmatism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 2.8 - 2.7 Ga</td>
<td>Inherited Zircon Grains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- **a)**
  - Not to scale
  - Legend:
    - >3.3 Ga
    - 3.2 - 3.0 Ga
    - 2.9 - 2.5 Ga
    - < 2.5 Ga Oceanic Crust

- **b)**
  - Graph showing Nd isotopes at 2.0 Ga:
    - eNd vs. eSr
    - DM line
    - ISAC Belt
    - Joaquí Block
    - Serrinha Block
    - Genilé Block
    - Legend:
      - Untitled Complex
      - Minaro Belt
      - Juiz de Fora Complex
      - Piedade Block
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(b) 1.78 Ga